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Identifying and Eliminating Backup System Bottlenecks: Taking Your Existing Backup System to the Next Level

This tutorial reveals the obvious and not-so-obvious bottlenecks found in enterprise backup systems and offers practical examples for applying the technologies described in the other Data Management tutorials to achieve one's performance objectives. The goal of this session is to illustrate how one can take an existing backup system to the next level by integrating cutting edge technologies and low-cost disk. We start with the assumption that the end user has made a sizable investment in his/her enterprise backup system and is looking for a road map for affordable growth in both performance and capacity. We also assume that tape is here to stay (at least for now) and that the ultimate goal is to get data onto tape for off-site removal. Topics include accelerating LAN-based backups, achieving maximum performance from tape, disk staging with ordinary disk, de-duplication, block-level differencing, and virtual tape. The take home message is that you cannot simply buy your way out of backup system headaches, you must design your way out.
Why are Backups Still Such a Pain?

- The infrastructure for backup does not grow at the rate of disk.
- Few backup systems are thoughtfully designed.
  - The backup system is often a tack-on to a server or storage purchase, rather than a whole project unto itself.
- People typically do not grow their backup systems in tandem with their disk systems and applications.
  - The backup system typically falls behind as new storage is added.
- Backup systems are typically not re-designed when other major changes take place.
  - All moves, add, and changes must be evaluated in terms of their impact on the backup system.
Where are the Backup System Bottlenecks?

Front-End Bottlenecks
- Network I/O Processing
- Applications
  - Exchange brick-level
- Files Systems
  - Lots of small files
- CPU and RAM contention
- Storage Channels
- Disk Spindles

Network Bandwidth
- LAN Switches
  - Backup Server I/O Processing
  - Back-End Storage Devices
Pinpointing Bottlenecks

- It’s not a perfect science
  - The bottleneck varies from job to job
  - Bottlenecks shift over the course of the night or even within a single backup job.

- Tools for spotting bottlenecks
  - Backup system logs and reporting software
  - Network and SAN monitoring tools
  - Host monitoring tools
  - Cross domain correlation
    - Tools that correlate performance data from tape devices, backup software, SAN, LAN, and host.
Where to Begin? Break Down the Problem

▷ Design from Front to Back
  ◆ The most common mistake is to start with the back-end storage devices without regard to bottlenecks in front of the storage devices.

▷ Front-end (host side)
  ◆ Set RTO and RPO goals for each host, or at least for the important ones.
  ◆ Identify major challenges, e.g. shortcomings in hardware, high volume of small files, etc.

▷ Center
  ◆ Eliminate I/O processing bottlenecks.

▷ Back-end
  ◆ Can your backup devices (tape, disk, or optical) handle the various feeds or do they introduce a new bottleneck?
Front-End Challenges

- Large volumes
  - How large is “large”? It’s all relative to your environment and the equipment at your disposal.

- Large volumes with zillions of small files
  - Causes a lot of disk thrashing when retrieving files
  - Disproportionate amount of metadata compared to actual data.
    - Secretly increases the capacity of the backup
    - Taxes the backup server, which has to create log entries for each of these files.

- Slower hosts: legacy equipment, slow network connections, etc.
Eliminating Host-Side Bottlenecks

Efficiency
- Incremental Forever Strategies
- *Synthetic* Fulls a/k/a *Save Set Consolidation*
- Granular Incrementals
  - Backup only the bits and bytes that have changed
- Hierarchical Storage and ILM Solutions

Brute Force
- Volume image backups
- Proxy backups
  - Snapshot or split mirror and mount on the backup server or a dedicated backup client
  - Replication to a dedicated backup client
- Multiplexing data streams with “push” agents
If you want multiple backup copies, back it up once and then make copies of the backup.

Incremental Forever

- After the initial full backup only changed files are backed up.
- Back end processes ensure that a full restore could be performed efficiently.

Synthetic Full / Save Set Consolidation

- The most recent full and its subsequent incremental are consolidated into a current full backup.
- Software must compensate for deliberate deletions.
Granular Incrementals

- Examples of sub-file-level incremental backups
  - Block-level incremental (in the file system or volume)
  - Transactional changes
  - Object-level backups
  - Commonality Factoring / De-duplication

- Instead of moving an entire changed file, only take action on the portions of the file that have changed.
  - For instance, change the word “dog” to “cat” in your 100 page word document. Do you back up the full 100 pages, or just “cat”.

- Sub-file-level tracking of changes is the key to a variety of data protection technologies:
  - Snapshots
  - Data replication
  - Continuous Backup
Files, database records, and/or email that are not in active use are either deleted or migrated to a secondary storage system.

- Eliminates redundant backups.
- Allows for speedier recovery.
- Frees up backup system resources for other jobs.
- Saves on tape media and reduces capacity needs of back-end storage devices.

What’s the catch?

- Stub files and symbolic links can complicate things:
  - Restoration processes
  - Retention policies
  - Indexing and e-discovery engines
- Backup performance might not improve as much as you might think.
  - Watch out for meta-data processing bottlenecks.
Interleaved Tape from Multiplexing

Results in:

A B C D A B C B D A C B D A B C A D C

Roughly one in four blocks is relevant to the restore. With higher levels of parallelism, the problem is that much worse. Tape copy and restore operations suffer.
Eliminating Central Bottlenecks
Traditional Centralized Backup System

The bottleneck is the I/O processing capacity of the backup server.
Dedicated High Speed Ethernet Backbone

Problem: Still have I/O bottleneck on backup server.
SANs Enable the Backup Server to Seek Help from a “Slave Server”

One or more computers can collect data from the network and send to tape.

100% improvement in throughput!!!
There is no industry standard term for “slave server”. Common names include:

- Storage Node
- Media Server
- Device Server
- Tape Server
- Smart Clients
- Media Agents
- Data Movers
- Others??
You don’t need to have SAN disk to have SAN backup.
- For that matter, it often makes sense to do LAN backup, even if you have disk on a SAN.

“LAN-Free” backup does not require disk to be on the SAN.

SANs for backup only do not require fancy SAN infrastructure.
- FC-AL loop switches are okay.
- Non-redundant fabrics are okay.
- Older model switches are okay.
- Multi-port SAN routers can form entire SAN.
You don’t need a SAN to have SAN backup!!!

Use dedicated drives and dedicated SCSI channels.

Add Fibre Channel or iSCSI functionality and dynamic drive sharing as a future upgrade.
SAN: Circumventing the Backup Servers

Continue to back up over LAN, and attach additional clients to the SAN over time.

Application server sending data directly to storage device on SAN
Hybrid of LAN and SAN Backup
“Just because” is not a valid reason
- Don’t use the SAN to back up just because you happen to have a SAN connection.

Select SAN Backup When
- You have a host that can generate substantially more I/O than your network backup servers can handle.
- You have an aggressive RTO that cannot be met over the LAN.
- You have back end storage devices that can keep pace.

Select LAN when LAN offers suitable performance.
- Focus your efforts on improving LAN backup performance.
  - Consider staging backups to disk
  - Consider multiplexing
Eliminating Back End Bottlenecks
“Shoe Shining” or Back-Hitching

- Performance problem caused by the mechanical operations of linear tape drives.
- The tape media is moving too fast to stop. When the data buffer empties, it keeps on going, then rewinds, then starts again.
- With erratic and slow data patterns it’s like two steps forward, one step back.
- Larger drive buffers and throttling of the drive mechanism help compensate for media repositioning, but the problem still persists in many situations.
Consequences of Shoe Shining

- Backup performance suffers.
- If you use multiplexing to stream the drives, then restore performance suffers.
- Tape copies, reclamation, and job consolidation take much longer to complete.
- Wear and tear on the drives and media.
You Can Have Too Many Drives

Backup Server
+/- 50MB/Sec

Modern Tape Drives
80-160MB/Sec
x 4 Drives
320-560MB/Sec

LAN
Distribute The Tape Drives

With fewer drives per host, you have a much better chance of getting the drives to stream.
SAN Connections: Getting the Drives to Stream
Tape Backup on the SAN: Some Things to Watch out For

- Not all hosts can push enough data to stream the drives directly.
  - Slower hosts can tie up an expensive tape drive. These hosts should back up over the LAN.
  - When you deploy new models of drives with higher shoe-shine thresholds, you might need to move some clients from the SAN back to the LAN.

- Some hosts might be able to go faster than the tape drives.
  - It’s a shame to let the tape drive slow them down.

- Slower hosts can tie up an expensive drive
  - It’s a shame to waste a drive on these hosts.
Disk arrays don’t shoe-shine!!!

As linear drives progress in throughput, it will be harder and harder to keep them streaming without first staging backups to disk.

- Similarly, fewer hosts will be able to deliver the throughput to justify direct communication with the tape device. Staging to disk will get the most out of your tape investment.
Disk is NOT the Panacea!
Remember the I/O Bottleneck

LAN

Backup Server

+/-50MB/Sec

Disk Array

+/- 100MB/Sec. (perhaps much faster)
Conventional disk staging either involves direct attached storage or SAN storage.

Provisioning disk for backups can be a pain.

- Low cost disk devices might not have the same provisioning capabilities as high end disk.
- It is difficult to accurately predict needs.
- Utilization is a big challenge since disproportionately more disk is needed for full backups than incrementals.
Great idea, but there are some catches

- Provisioning is a pain. You have just duplicated the provisioning problem you had for primary storage.
  - Every time you provision primary storage, you have to provision secondary storage for disk staging.
- Sure SATA disk is cheap, but not if you waste it.
  - Secondary disk needs are heavy during full backups and light for incremental backups.
- Okay for slave servers. Not great for SAN-enabled backup clients. Why?
  - SAN attached clients using backup to disk will require some kind of sharing mechanism, so that a backup server or slave server can handle moving from disk to tape.
The Role of Shared Storage in Disk Staging
SAN-Enabled Backup-To-Disk Requires a Sharing Mechanism

- Sophisticated volume manager integrated with backups.
  - But few vendors offer this and it is complex to configure.
  - Volume managers do not work across OS platforms.

- SAN file system that allows multiple hosts to read and write to the same physical disk.
  - But SAN file systems are still somewhat esoteric.
  - It would be overkill to deploy a SAN file system just for backups.

- CIFS/NFS Share
  - But there could be some serious performance limitations.

- Virtual tape library
  - This problem was solved long ago in the tape world. By emulating tape, VTLs take advantage of a proven mechanism.
Virtual Tape Library On a SAN
Physical and Virtual Library
Q&A / Feedback

Please send any questions or comments on this presentation to SNIA: trackdatamgmt@snia.org
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