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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Protection Initiative (DPI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term Archive and Compliance Storage Initiative (LTACSI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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Abstract

Disk and Tape Backup Mechanisms

Extending the enterprise backup paradigm with disk-based technologies allow users to significantly shrink or eliminate the backup time window. This tutorial focuses on various methodologies that can deliver an efficient and cost effective disk-to-disk-to-tape (D2D2T) solution. This includes approaches to storage pooling inside of modern backup applications, using disk and file systems within these pools, as well as how and when to utilize virtual tape libraries (VTL) within these infrastructures.
Fundamental concepts for modern, high-performance, scalable systems for Data Protection using networked storage

An Overview of Backup Mechanisms for Storage Networks

Conclusions

Appendix
Data protection is about data availability

There are a wide variety of tools available to us to achieve that goal, including backup, restoration, replication and recovery, but it is critical to keep focused on the actual goal -- availability of the data -- and to balance how we achieve that goal by using the right set of tools for the specific job. Held in the balance are concepts like data importance or business criticality, critical to the business operations team and budget, speed, and cost of downtime, usually the concerns of backup administrators.
DDRR - The Process

Detection
- Corruption or failure noted

Diagnosis / Decision
- What went wrong?
- What recovery point should be used?
- What method of recovery -- overall strategy for the recovery?

Restoration
- This phase involves moving data -- from tape to disk or disk to disk – from the backup or archive (source) to the primary or production disks.

Recovery
- Final phase: application environment perform standard recovery and startup operations -- log replays for a database or journals replays for a file system.
**Concepts**

- **RPO - Recovery Point Objective**
  - The maximum desired time period prior to a failure or disaster during which changes to data may be lost as a consequence of recovery. Data changes preceding the failure or disaster by at least this time period are preserved by recovery. Zero is a valid value and is equivalent to a "zero data loss" requirement.

- **RTO - Recovery Time Objective**
  - The maximum desired time period required to bring one or more applications and associated data back to a correct operational state.
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Methodologies of Backup

Cold
- Systems are offline for the period of time it takes to capture an image of the data in total. As backup window shrinks and data size expands, cold backup becomes untenable. If possible, this is the easiest and cheapest form of protection.

Application Consistent
- Application supports ability to take pieces of overall data set offline for a period of time to protect it - application knows how to recover from a collection of individual consistent pieces. No downtime for backup window.

Crash Consistent or Atomic
- Data can be copied or frozen at the exact same moment across the entire dataset. Application recovery from an atomic backup performs like a high availability failover. No backup window.
What’s most important:
- Backup Window (speed of backup)
- Recovery: RTO (speed of recovery, cost of downtime)
- Recovery: RPO (amount of data loss)

There are trade-offs everywhere
- Newer technology minimizes trade-offs
  - But they still exist!!!
- Need to identify the priority order, and establish SLA targets for each piece (Backup, RTO, RPO)
- Ease of use, audit-ability, and error recovery need to be considered too…

“Pay me now, or pay me later!”
Backup versus Disk-based Replication

- **Backup**
  - Protecting data by making copies or allowing copies to be generated from saved data
  - Examples: snapshots, split mirrors, VTL, tape, CDP
  - When?
    - Multiple Recovery Points needed
    - Recovery from data corruption
    - Archival and indexing

- **Mirroring/Replication**
  - Protecting data by moving the data, usually as it changes, to a remote copy. Synchronous or Asynchronous mirroring
  - When?
    - *Disaster Recovery Time Objective (DR/RTO centric usually)*
    - *Data Migration*
    - *Content Distribution*
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B/R Topology Components

- **Agent**
  - Runs the *backup engine* to get the data from the platform to backup
  - Collects the *data* and *metadata* accordingly to the requested level of abstraction

- **Application Server**
  - Device on which the *data* are written
  - If plugged on a SAN, it is *shareable*. Thus the backup application must:
    - authorize multiple attachments of this same physical device
    - handle dynamic bus address change (aka serialization)

- **Backup Server**
  - Central point of *administration* and management for the backup application
  - Usually concentrates all the meta-data information in a repository (aka *catalog*) that may be built from a collection of flat files to a real database
  - May offer some *redundancy* or disaster recovery methods for securing the catalog

- **Storage Node**
  - Mechanical device *moving removable media* in secondary storage devices
  - Made up of *slots, mailbox, picker* and locations for secondary storage devices
  - Usually attached only once and driven from a single host
  - The backup software may enable a logical split to create as many different logical smaller Libraries

- **Catalog**
  - Collects the data from the agent to redirect them on a secondary storage device
  - May offer some *caching* functionality for stream manipulation like:
    - Multiplexing (aka fan-in)
    - Multiple streams handling (aka fan-out)
In this mode, the application server reads and sends the data over the LAN

- Data and meta-data go to the backup server
- **LAN impacted by NAS, iSCSI, Backup & Mirroring/Replication!**
  - Application server impacted by primary storage I/O
  - Various network protocols: NFS, CiFS, iSCSI, proprietary protocol, NDMP
In this mode, the application server reads and writes the data locally

- Secondary storage statically attached to the application server
- Meta-data go to the backup server

**No LAN impact – except meta-data (significant for millions of files)**

- Application server impacted by both primary and secondary storage I/O
- May be done by proprietary protocol or NDMP
LAN-free Backup

In this mode, the application server reads and writes the data locally through the SAN

- Secondary storage is:
  - Attached to the application server via the SAN
  - Resources attached and shared among multiple application servers
  - Dynamically allocated by the backup application

- Meta-data go to the backup server

- No LAN impact – except meta-data (significant for millions of files)

- Application server impacted by both primary and secondary storage I/O
In this mode, the application server gives a snapshot of the primary storage volume to a tutor server that reads and sends the data over the LAN or SAN

- **Tutor server (Slave server) must understand the volume structure**
- Snapshot volume may be mounted on tutor server or extent list map created
- Meta-data (and perhaps data) go to the backup server
- **Mirror**: Application server impacted when re-silvering the mirror
  - Or
- **Snapshot** (shared blocks): Application server impacted by volume access
In this mode, the server delegates the primary storage I/O processing to a “Data-mover” device on the SAN, using SCSI Extended Copy (XCOPY or “Third-Party Copy”) command. Stable image (snapshot) backup and extent list meta-data are necessary components of this.

- Tutor server must understand the volume structure
- Meta-data go to the backup server but with less granularity
- No LAN impact – there are fewer meta-data
- Application server impacted when re-silvering the mirror or by snapshot volume access
- No storage node impact
• Full Backup --
  • Everything copied to backup (cold or hot backup)
  • Restoration straight-forward for cold backup (and, typically, hot backup)
  • Huge resource consumption (server, network, tapes)

• Incremental Backup --
  • Only the data that changed since last full/incremental copied
  • Massive reduction in data moved/copied
  • Can lead to nightmares in restoration and recovery
- Use Information from full and incrementals to reconstitute a full backup
  - No server involvement
  - Minimize network resource consumption
  - Not without it’s challenges like long processing times and metadata consistency
Tape Technology

- Sequential technology
  - Versus random access
- Can be sequestered
  - Away from prying hands, DR
  - Legal - regulated industry?
- Media replacement costs
  - Tape life, reusability
  - Reliability
- Performance and Utilization
  - Streaming and multiplexing
- Libraries and Robotics
- Removability
Tape Backup

Traditional approach:
- Data go **over LAN** from the Primary Storage to the Secondary Storage
- The Secondary Storage is composed of a **Media Manager** and **Tape Drives**
- Typically managed by a B/R application
Tape Backup: Pros and Cons

Pros:

• Lower costs
• Established process
• Off-site media storage

Cons:

• Performance
• Device sharing
• Reliability of devices and media
• Risk of Tape loss
Tape challenges for Enterprise customers

- **Speed and Reliability**
  - Need to reduce backup window
  - Need faster, more reliable restores

- **Management**
  - Media management of tape-based B/R program can be complex

- **Cost**
  - ROI analysis of hard and soft costs
    (Equipment costs, maintenance, media, down-time)

- **Device sharing**
  - Complexity, schedule risk, resource conflicts
Backup to Disk (B2D)

- Replaces primary backup target with disks
- Advantages:
  - Speed (Backup and Restore)
  - Scale
  - Fewer shared devices
- Fibre Channel Disks versus ATA versus SAS
  - I/O per second random access, MB/s sequential
  - Large # of small I/O’s, Small numbers of large I/O’s
- Backup to disk may require updates to backup software or extra modules
Tape Virtualization

► Originated in mainframe environments
  ▪ Multiple applications
  ▪ Many data sets with long data life cycle times

► Integration into Open Systems
  ▪ Designed to avoid changes to backup software
  ▪ Packaged, formatted, optimized
  ▪ Eliminate media handling, improves BU and Restore
Virtual Tape Advantages

- **Speed and reliability**
  - Single stream performance exceeding tape drives
  - Aggregate performance without multiplexing
  - No mechanical failures, No robots
  - Inherent RAID protection

- **Management**
  - Plug and play in existing back-up environment
  - Appears as open systems tape cartridges, drives, libraries
  - Compatible with existing backup & restore operations
  - Easily integrates with today’s off-site processes

- **Cost**
  - Cost effective & scalable
  - Leverage lower cost disks

- **Reduced device sharing**
  - Reduces complexity, schedule risk, resource conflicts
Constant change and heterogeneity in technologies

- Operating Systems
- Disk Storage Appliances
- Network Architectures / Topologies
- Tape Storage Devices

Challenge

- Protect mission-critical data
- Timely backup and restore
- Administration overhead
- Optimize storage resources
Scalability & Flexibility

Heterogeneity
- Multiple platforms (HW / OS)
- Multiple tape drives & libraries
- Multiple applications
- NAS and SAN

Leverage architecture
- **Snapshot** facilities
- **SAN, LAN**

Open
- Use of **standards**
- API, command line

Security
- **Authentication**
- **DMZ**
- **Firewall** support

Advanced tape management
- **Tape mirroring**
- **Off-site storage**
Advanced library management
- **Sharing, splitting**
- Port handling
Manageability

- **Easy Installation and deployment**
- **Centralized Administration**
  - GUI & smart interface
  - Backup strategies
  - Scheduling
  - Media management

- **Centralized Supervision**
  - Real-time monitoring
  - Alarms
  - Event log
  - SNMP compliant, integration with Frameworks

- **Accounting**
  - Reporting about Protection services
  - Allows internal or external billing
Performance

- **Caching** on storage node for *multiplexing* and *stream management*
- Synthetic full backup – *offload backup engine*
  - faster backup = Incrementals
  - faster restore = restore from full session
  - LAN-free full backup from incremental

Manageable by user
- **User profile** and authentication
- Delegate: not only an administrator tool

Smart recovery functions
- Most recent image
- **Consistent view** = true image
- **Minimize downtime**:
  - time to diagnose
  - time to restore
- Lost time + downtime = total loss time
Please send any comments on this tutorial to SNIA: trackdatamgmt@snia.org

Many thanks to the following individuals for their contributions to this tutorial:

SNIA Data protection Initiative
SNIA “Data Management Forum”
SNIA Tech Council

Nancy Clay
Mike Rowan
SW Worth
Mike Fishman
Jason Iehl

Get Involved!
- Find a passion
- Join a committee
- Gain knowledge & influence
- Make a difference

www.snia-dmf.org
Questions and Answers
APPENDIX

www.snia.org/education/tutorials
Backup Definition

« A **collection of data** stored on (usually **removable**) non-volatile storage media for purposes of recovery in case the original copy of data is lost or becomes inaccessible. Also called **backup copy**. To be useful for recovery, a backup must be made by copying the source data image when it is in a **consistent state**…

or contains elements and information enabling a consistent state to be recovered. »

Source: SNIA Dictionary
**Secondary Storage Standard Data Formats**

**Posix 1003.1 Archive/Interchange File Format** also well known as **tar** or **cpio**

- **Pros** : implemented and available on **all Unix** systems
- **Cons** : meta-data **limited to posix** system implementations and designed for sequential **unaltered file level data** (no streams, no compression, no multiplexing, etc.)

**SIDF, System Independent Data Format**, focuses on representing system data and file data and meta-data for **all types of operating systems** and platforms in a media independent common format.

- **Pros** : handle **all kinds of stream** manipulation and meta-data forms with tags
- **Cons** : used privately in backup products, i.e. **no native command** on systems yet

Server vendor based (usually at volume or lower file system level)

- Pros: maximum support of vendor specific features (volume specificities, unique meta-data, etc.)
- Cons: no possible interchange with other platforms

Backup software vendor based

- Pros: maximum support of vendor specific features like multiplexing, compression, etc. – all kinds of implemented stream manipulation
- Cons: no possible interchange with other products and must be read via the backup software (may be « processed » sometimes to be read in standard format or standalone command available)
NDMP is a general open network protocol for controlling the exchange of data between two parties
Partition the problem between vendors
Each vendor implements solutions in compliance with this protocol: Data Server / Tape Server / Client
Enable « best of breed » combinations of multi-vendor products in customer solutions
Enhanced interoperability
Vendor
  - focus on core competencies
  - Improve user offering
  - reduce time-to-market

http://www.ndmp.org
B/R Application Disk Support

First Backup-to-Disk approach:

- Data go over LAN from the Primary Storage to the Secondary Storage
- The Secondary Storage is composed of Disk Drives managed by the B/R Application
- Storage maybe block or file based with raw, filesystem or sequential format
Virtualization approach

- Data sent over LAN from the Primary Storage to the Secondary Storage
- The Secondary Storage is an appliance filled with Disk Drives
- It behaves like a regular Media Manager and Tape Drives