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IoT: Evolution of Devices

According to IDC - “The Internet of Things (IoT) is a network of networks of uniquely identifiable endpoints (or "things") that communicate without human interaction using IP connectivity - whether locally or globally.”

Source: http://www.gartner.com/newsroom/id/2636073
IoT: Market Estimates And Forecasts (Industry Specific)

✓ More than 50% of manufacturers identify lower operational costs as the most significant driver of their organization’s Internet of Things (IoT) initiatives over the next 12–24 months (05/06/2015, IBM Institute for Business Value).

✓ The value of Internet of Things in healthcare is forecasted to reach $163.24 billion by 2020, with a CAGR of 38.1% for 2015-2020 (01/05/2016, eMarketer).

✓ By 2018, it is expected to drive development of over 200,000 new IoT apps and services (11/03/2015, IDC).
IoT: Market Estimates And Forecasts (Cloud Specific)

✓ **36%** of **hybrid leaders** are using **hybrid cloud** for Internet of Things.  
(02/09/2016, IBM Center for Applied Insights)

✓ **52%** of IoT **developers** implement **cognitive computing and artificial intelligence** in their development work. (11/09/2015, Evans Data)

✓ By 2019, **80%** of new applications using the Internet of Things (IoT) will **analyze data in motion** as well as collect this information for **analysis of data at rest**.  
(12/03/2015, Gartner)
IoT: Challenges (Technology)

• **Cost of connectivity**
  Solutions are *expensive* (high infrastructure, maintenance costs, service costs of middlemen).

• **Internet after trust**
  In IoT networks trust *(privacy, anonymity)* is either *expensive* or difficult to guarantee.

• **Lack of functional value**
  - *Simple connectivity* enablement may or *may not* make a *device smarter* or better.
  - *Connectivity* with *intelligence* makes a better product and experience.
IoT: Challenges (Business)

• Not future-proof
  - Refresh cycle for basic pieces of IoT infrastructure (door locks, bulbs etc.) is quite long (may last for years, even decades).

• Broken business models
  - Unlike PC or smart phones, IoT devices (locks, bulbs etc.) worked without apps and service contracts, which make revenue expectations unrealistic.
  - End to End IoT solutions (Smart TV to speak to the toaster, Smart washing machine to speak to detergent vendor) get cumbersome quickly.
IoT: Current State – Needs a Reboot

✓ **IoT landscape** is been evolving at a **rapid pace** and there has been **little innovation** to address the IoT market from a **storage infrastructure** standpoint.

✓ Currently **no storage vendor** is offering **specific storage solutions** for IoT deployments.

✓ There is **no single “best”** approach to data management in the context of IoT.

✓ Need for a **common framework**, enhancements in performance and security.
IoT Platform: Centralized Architecture

✓ IoT centralized architecture uses a **hub** (typically powered by the cloud) that controls the execution of nodes (smart devices).

✓ Uses **Platform-as-a-Service** model.

✓ Current **database architecture** and **data management** strategy may not be sufficient to handle large scale IoT networks.

✓ **Big data** architecture gets **complex**, as IoT devices produce hundreds of thousands of data points per second.

✓ **Offered Services:** Event processing, Device discovery, Device management, Event Notifications, Real Time Analytics.
IoT Platform: Decentralized Architecture

ADEPT platform – Autonomous Decentralized Peer-To-Peer Telemetry

An effort to prove the foundational concepts around decentralized approach.

Key Objectives:

- **Distributed Transaction** Processing & Applications:
- **Robust Security**
- **Privacy** by design and default
- Designed for commerce and **market places**
IoT Platform: Decentralized Architecture

Key Solution Components:

✓ Trustless peer-to-peer messaging
✓ Secure distributed data sharing / file transfers
✓ Autonomous Device coordination.
1. **Scalable**: Cost-effective scalability, and the ability to decouple capacity and performance.

2. **Self-healing**: Traditional RAID mechanisms may be ineffective in large scale environments. Having a self-healing architecture with configurable data availability classes.

3. **Data awareness**: Ability to create a data-aware storage platform, which provides both context to the data and policy management, as well as visualization tools to harness the value of that data for better business insights.

* Source: Gartner, Reassess Storage Requirements for Successful IoT Implementations
Why Object Storage For IoT

Early predictions (why object storage suits best for IoT workload)

- Designed to support large volumes and velocity of data.
- Native HTTP / REST support.
- Linear Scale out architecture (Scale in all directions including IOPS, latency)
- Distributed Architecture (Performance, load distribution)
- No single point of failure.
- Support for heterogeneous data types, different data protection policies.
Why Object Storage is falling short?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micro services of IoT</th>
<th>Type of Storage</th>
<th>Appropriate Storage Platforms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batch Processing</td>
<td>Primary Storage</td>
<td>Distributed Filesystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Real-Time Processing</strong></td>
<td>Primary Storage</td>
<td><strong>Memory-centric Filesystems, NoSQL Data Stores</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Archiving</td>
<td>Secondary Storage</td>
<td>Distributed Filesystems, Object Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Storage</td>
<td>Primary Storage</td>
<td><strong>Object Storage with Spin down capabilities, Cloud Storage, Tape Libraries</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Source: Gartner 2015

Based on a recent survey on IoT implementations, it is observed that object storage is not considered for real time processing of IoT data (However success of IoT implementation hugely depends on analytics platform).
Factors that help Object Storage to hold its promise

1: In-Place Analytics

2: IoT data collection and Privacy filters

3: Native Event, Cross Device Notification, Life Cycle Management
Issue-1: In-Place Analytics

Analytics on Traditional Object Store

1. Data to be migrated from object store to dedicated analytic cluster.
2. Perform the analysis and copy results back to object store for publishing.

Reference: https://aws.amazon.com/elasticmapreduce/

Analytics With Unified File and Object Access

Object data available as “Files” on the same fileset.

Analytics systems (Hadoop, Spark) can directly leverage this data analytics.

No data movement / In-Place immediate data analytics
Swift-on-File for In-Place Analytics

This object:
http://swift.example.com/v1/acct/cont/obj

was stored with Swift here:
/mnt/sdb1/2/node/sdb2/objects/981/f79/f566bd022b9285b05e665fd7b843bf79/1401254393.89313.data

But is now stored with SwiftOnFile here:
/mnt/scaleoutFS/acct/cont/obj

For more details refer: OpenStack SwiftOnFile - User Identity for Cross Protocol Access Demystified
Unified Identity Between Object And File

- Common set of Object and File users using same directory service (AD+RFC 2307 or LDAP)
- Objects created using Swift API will be owned by the user performing the Object operation (PUT)
  - Note that if object already exists, existing ownership of object will be retained
Issue-2: IoT Data Collection and Privacy Filters

✔ Data collection and maintaining privacy on IoT devices is a big deal.
✔ Lack of trust on details collected by commodity IoT devices. Storing these details on cloud makes situation more uncomfortable.

✔ End user configurable Middleware to filter out the privacy parameters per IoT device.
✔ End user configurable Expiry of objects, metadata per IoT device.
✔ Automated placement of data per IoT device.
Issue-3: Event, Cross Device Notification, Life Cycle Management

- Avoids need of database triggers or performance hampering analytic engine to act on a particular set of received IoT data.
- Policies, rules for cross device notification can applied based on received object content, type, device type, metadata details and generated event type.
- Auto-migration / placement of data based on its dependency for cross device notification.
IBM Spectrum Scale

Data management at scale

- Avoid vendor lock-in with true Software Defined Storage and Open Standards
- Seamless performance & capacity scaling
- Automate data management at scale
- Enable global collaboration

OpenStack and Spectrum Scale helps clients manage data at scale

**Business:** I need virtually unlimited storage
- An open & scalable cloud platform

**Operations:** I need a flexible infrastructure that supports both object and file based storage
- A single data plane that supports Cinder, Glance, Swift, Manila as well as NFS, et. al.

**Operations:** I need to minimize the time it takes to perform common storage management tasks
- A fully automated policy based data placement and migration tool

**Collaboration:** I need to share data between people, departments and sites with low latency.
- Sharing with a variety of WAN caching modes

**Results**

- Converge File and Object based storage under one roof
- Employ enterprise features to protect data, e.g. Snapshots, Backup, and Disaster Recovery
- Support native file, block and object sharing to data.
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