

MarFS, Marchive, and GUFI – Long Term Storage Strategies at LANL

David Bonnie Los Alamos National Laboratory US Department of Energy

LA-UR-18-28675

Storage @ LANL

- Simulation HPC site
 - □ Large jobs (30%+ of system, up to ~80%)
 - Run for 6-12 months for a computing campaign
 - Defensive checkpointing in both N-1 and N-N forms up to petabyte scale files



Storage @ LANL





2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675

Storage @ LANL

- Many layers of storage
 - Explicit tiering between layers by users
 - 2 new layers with Trinity Burst Buffer and Campaign
 - Complicated the user's job of shepherding data even further



Why complicate matters?

- Burst buffer for economic reasons (\$ / GB/s)
- □ Campaign for...economic reasons (\$ / GB/s and \$ / GB)
 - Unintuitive at first, but much easier to scale disk than tape for bandwidth
 - ...but existing POSIX solutions were expensive



Why build our own file system?

Existing POSIX solutions either:
 Expensive
 Unsafe
 Unsuitable to workload / users
 Combination of the above ③



Enter MarFS

- □ This is our current "Campaign" tier
- We compromise a key part of POSIX:
 - Update in place / seek on write
- Given that compromise, we gain a lot
 - IO shaping is possible (save IOPs on write)
 - □ IO protection is now easy[™] (batch IO efficiency)
- Design goals: transparency, protection of data above all else, recoverability, and ease of administration



MarFS in a nutshell

- FUSE daemon full POSIX metadata, full data read access
- Library simple API for all access, abstracted calls for simplicity via DAL/MDAL
- pftool optimized parallel data movement tool
- Admin utilities quota generation, trash management, offline packing



Scalability at its core



9



2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675

Metadata scaling stunt

- We built a test harness with MPI to push the limits of the MarFS metadata design
- □ Initial MD scaling runs on Cielo (1.1 PF, ~140,000 cores, ~9000 nodes)
 - 968 billion files, one single directory
 - **835** million file creations *per second* to metadata repos on each node
 - No cheating! Every create traversed the network from client to server
 QD=1 for each client, 8 clients per node, 8 servers per node
- □ Further testing on Mustang (230 TF, ~38,000 cores, ~1600 nodes)
 - Large directory readdir tested from 10-50 nodes (sequential and parallel)
 - Peak of 300 million files per second across 50 nodes
 - More than 400X speedup over a single client sequential readdir



Sample Multi File

18

/MarFS top level namespace aggregation



2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675



2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675

Multi-Component Repositories

Initially storage via commodity object storage Very "black box", vendor lock-in, design goals Developed our own "object" storage layer Lean on local expertise in ZFS Completely transparent layout Erasure at two levels







2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675

File Format

18

Storage Path: <prefix>/repo<N>/pod<P>/block<Q>/cap<X>/scatter<Y>/<obj_ID>.<part_num>



2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675

Lessons learned (so far)

- Transparency at the lower levels of storage is absolutely key to problem analysis and repair
- Reducing IOPs requirements at the bottom allows efficient use of un-agile disks (shingled HDDs)
- Simple design makes it easy to discover, analyze, and repair any problems as they come up



Ongoing work

- RDMA native transport
- "Fuzzy" DAL
- Fine-grained IO timing
- Live capacity/storage migration
- □ Something even more "cold"...



So we have this MarFS thing...

- Scalable namespaces
- Quotas
- Easy to understand/administer
- Optimized write IO characteristics
- ...can we make an archive out of this?



- MarFS + Archive == Marchive
- Very simple extension of the MarFS paradigm
 - Just replace the ZFS arrays with tape!
 - Lose agile read from FUSE
 - Batch process ingest/recall on tape
 - Mostly just automation and UX challenges



Many layers of storage

- By design users will keep everything if allowed, and HSMs only contribute to that bloat
- Data management is entirely user-driven
 - Users go find unneeded data and delete, if prodded
 - Users have no easy way to find particular datasets unless they have a good hierarchy or they remember where they put it
 - Users have bad memories and bad hierarchies...(you can see where this leads)
 - …lower (longer) tiers of storage systems accumulate cruft over time



Enter GUFI

- **Unified** index over home, project, scratch, campaign, and archive
- Metadata only with attribute support
- Shared index for users and admins
- Parallel search capabilities that are very fast (minutes for billions of files/dirs)
- Can appear as mounted file system where you get a virtual image of your file metadata based on query input
- □ Full/Incremental update from sources with reasonable update time/annoyance
- Leverage existing tech as much as possible both hdwr and software: flash, threads, clusters, sql as part of the interface, commercial db tech, commercial indexing systems, commercial file system tech, threading/parallel process/node run times, src file system full/incremental capture capabilities, posix tree attributes (permissions, hierarchy representation, etc.), open source/agnostic to leveraged parts where possible.
- **Simple** so that an admin can easily understand/enhance/troubleshoot



Initial thoughts

- Why not a flat namespace?
 - Performance is great, but...
 - Rename high in the tree is terribly costly
 - Security becomes a nightmare if users/admins can access the namespace
- □ Leverage things that already work well, reduce required records to scan:
 - POSIX permissions / tree walk (readdir+)
 - Breadth first search for parallelization
 - Our trees have inherent namespace divisions for parallelism
 - Embedded DBs are fast if not many joins and individual DB size < TB</p>
 - Flash storage is cheap enough to hold everything with order ~10K IOPs each
 - Entries in file system reduce to essentially <dir count> * 3
 - Dense directories reduce footprint dramatically
 - SQL is easily utilized for general queries of attributes

Prototype

/search





2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675

Draft DB Schemas

- Parent-Inode mapping file "directories-parent-inode directories Inode"
 - Parent inode is only kept for directories, not for files as that kills rename/move function performance
- **CREATE TABLE entries**
 - name TEXT PRIMARY KEY. name of file (Not path due to renames) f for file I for link inode type TEXT, inode INT, mode INT. posix mode bits number of links nlink INT, uid INT, gid INT, uid and gid size INT. blksize INT. size and blocksize blocks INT. blocks atime INT. access time file contents modification time mtime INT, ctime INT, metadata change time linkname TEXT, if link this is path to link xattrs TEXT);"; single text string, key/value pairs w/ delimiters



Draft DB Schemas (continued)

summary info for this directory

name not path due to rename d for directory inode posix mode bits number of links uid gid size, blocksize, blocks atime INT, mtime INT, ctime INT, access time, dir contents mod time, md chg time if link, path to link, xattrs key/value delimited string tot files in dir. tot links in dir minuid INT, maxuid INT, mingid INT, maxgid INT, min and max uid and gid minimum file size and max file size total number of files It KB mt KB, It MB, totltk INT. totmtk INT. totltm INT. totmtm INT, totmtg INT, totmtt INT, total number of files mt MB mt GB, mt TB total bytes in files in dir min max ctime min max mtime min max mtime min max blocks number of files with xattrs depth this directory is in the tree



"CREATE TABLE summary(

mode INT.

nlink INT, uid INT, gid INT,

totsize INT,

totxattr INT. depth INT);";

name TEXT PRIMARY KEY.

size INT, blksize INT, blocks INT,

linkname TEXT, xattrs TEXT,

totfiles INT, totlinks INT,

minsize INT, maxsize INT,

minctime INT. maxctime INT.

minatime INT, maxatime INT,

minblocks INT, maxblocks INT,

minmtime INT, maxmtime INT,

type TEXT, inode INT.

2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675

Draft DB Schemas (continued)

- CREATE TABLE treesummary(
 - totsubdirs INT,
 - maxsubdirfiles INT, maxsubdirlinks INT,
 - maxsubdirsize INT,
 - totfiles INT, totlinks INT,
 - minuid INT, maxuid INT, mingid INT, maxgid INT,
 - minsize INT, maxsize INT,
 - totltk INT, totmtk INT, totltm INT,
 - totmtm INT, totmtg INT, totmtt INT,
 - totsize INT,
 - minctime INT, maxctime INT,
 - minmtime INT, maxmtime INT,
 - minatime INT, maxatime INT,
 - minblocks INT, maxblocks INT,
 - totxattr INT,
 - depth INT);";

summary info for this tree

tot subdirs in tree maxfiles in a subdir max links in a subdir most bytes in any subdir tot files in tree, tot links in tree min and max uid and gid minimum file size and max file size total number of files It KB mt KB, It MB, total number of files mt MB mt GB, mt TB total bytes in files in tree min max ctime min max mtime min max mtime min max blocks number of files with xattrs depth this tree summary is in the tree



Programs Included / In Progress

- DFW depth first walker, prints pinode, inode, path, attrs, xattrs
- BFW breadth first walker, prints pinode, inode, path, attrs, xattrs
- BFWI breadth first walker to create GUFI index tree from source tree
- BFMI walk Robinhood MySQL and list tree and/or create GUFI index tree
- BFTI breadth first walker that summarizes a GUFI tree from a source path down, can create treesummary index of that info
- □ BFQ breadth first walker query that queries GUFI index tree
 - Specify SQL for treesummary, directorysummary, and entries DBs
- BFFUSE FUSE interface to run POSIX md tools on a GUFI search result
- Querydb dumps treesummary, directorysummar, and optional entry databases given a directory in GUFI as input
- Programs to update, incremental update (in progress):
 - Lustre, GPFS, HPSS



Early Performance Indicators

- All tests performed on a mid 2014 Macbook (quad core + nvme SSD)
- □ No tree indexes used
- ~136k directories, mostly small directories, 10 1M entry dirs, 20 100K size dirs, and 10 20M size dirs
- □ ~250M files total represented
- □ Search of all files: 2m10s (~1.75M files/sec)
- □ Search of all files and dirs: 2m19s (~1.63 M entries/sec)
- Search of all files and dirs, but exclude some very large dirs: 1m18s
- □ Search of all files and dirs, but exclude all < 1000 file directories: 1m59s
- …on a laptop!



Learn more!

- https://github.com/mar-file-system/GUFI
- https://github.com/mar-file-system/marfs
- https://github.com/pftool/pftool

Open Source

BSD License

Partners Welcome





2018 Storage Developer Conference. Los Alamos National Laboratory LA-UR-18-28675





