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HDD Performance and I/O Latency

IOPS and I/O tail latency increase with queue depth
HDD Performance Characteristics

- Using HDDs at high queue depth increases performance
  - E.g. I/O rate increases from 81 IOPS at QD=1 up to 188 IOPS at QD=32
- But this comes at the cost of a significant increase in tail latency
  - E.g. Latency 99th percentile increases up to 575 ms at QD=32
Controlling I/O Latency

- I/O latency control is an important aspect of many storage applications
  - For implementing different user service levels and guaranteeing service quality
  - For the overall system performance
    - In particular for RAID and erasure coded systems where user I/Os are split over multiple drives
      - The drive with the lowest access time slows down the entire I/O execution time
- Several methods exist for controlling I/O latency at the device level
  - Control based on queue depth limits
  - Trade-off performance for tight control over I/O latency
  - ATA NCQ Priority Feature
    - Give hints to the drive for partial (best-effort) control over I/O latency with higher performance
- The new command duration limits feature provides a more advanced interface allowing users to precisely control I/O latency
  - Provides more detailed hints to the drive to enable an efficient and precise (on time) command execution
Queue Depth Based Control

Sacrificing performance for tight control over I/O latency
Queue Depth Based Control

- Storage applications can control tail latency by using the drive at a low queue depth
  - E.g. maintaining a latency 99th percentile under 100ms implies using the disk at QD=3 at most
    - Maximum performance drops to 110 IOPS
    - 40% decrease from QD=32 maximum IOPS

- Simple and efficient method
  - Widely used in the field
  - But increasing a system overall performance requires more drives
    - Can significantly increase the system cost
ATA NCQ Priority Feature

Higher performance with partial (best-effort) control over I/O latency
ATA NCQ Priority Feature

- First introduced with ACS-2 (2011)
  - No SCSI equivalent!
- Defines a high priority level for NCQ FPDMA read and write commands, in addition to the normal/no priority level
  - Allows the user to indicate to the drive the commands that must be executed "quickly"
  - Best effort execution
- The standard is vague about what “quickly” means
  - Vendors can implement various command execution scheduling policies with different characteristics
    - This results in drive behavior differences between drive vendors and drive models
ATA NCQ Priority in Linux

- Supported in Linux since kernel 4.10
- Relies on Linux I/O priority API
  - Initially defined for kernel block I/O schedulers
  - Three priority classes are defined
    - Real-time, best effort and idle
  - NCQ high priority level is set for commands serving I/Os using the real-time priority class
- I/O priorities can be assigned directly by the user
  - Per user, per process group and per process
  - `ioprio_set()` system call and cgroups
  - Per asynchronous I/O (libaio and io_uring)
    - `aio_reqprio` field of `struct aiocb`
  - In-kernel I/O path propagates the I/O priority to the block IO scheduler and to the device driver

```c
#define IOPRIO_PRIO_VALUE(class, data) ((class) << IOPRIO_CLASS_SHIFT) | data
...
enum {
    IOPRIO_CLASS_NONE,
    IOPRIO_CLASS_RT,
    IOPRIO_CLASS_BE,
    IOPRIO_CLASS_IDLE,
};

/*
 * 8 best effort priority levels are supported
 */
#define IOPRIO_BE_NR (8)
..."
ATA NCQ Priority Use Example

- With 20% of high-priority I/Os, a low (50ms) tail latency is maintained for all queue depths
  - 18% decrease of the maximum IOPS (156) from the baseline maximum performance (188)
  - Tail latency (99th percentile) of low priority I/Os significantly increases from the baseline

![NCQ Priority I/O Rate](image1)

![NCQ Priority I/O latency 99th Percentile](image2)

- Higher tail latency for low priority commands
- 128KB Random Read, 20% high priority I/Os
Command Duration Limits

Higher performance with fine control over I/O latency
Command Duration Limits (CDL) Feature

- New SCSI and ATA command feature currently in draft state
  - T10 SPC-6 (SCSI) and T13 ACS-5 (ATA)
    - A simpler version of the feature is defined in T10 SPC-5
- CDL defines Duration Limit Descriptors (DLD)
  - 7 DLDs for read commands and 7 DLDs for write commands
    - ACS-5: log page 18h
    - SPC-6: mode page 0Ah, subpages 07h and 08h
    - 3 bits for read and write commands to indicate to the disk the duration limit descriptor (DLD) to apply to the command
      - For backward compatibility, descriptor 0 means “no limit”
- The user can change a drive DLDs to adjust command latencies for the target workload
  - MODE SELECT for SCSI and WRITE LOG [DMA] EXT for ATA
  - This allows defining a similar behavior for different drive models from different vendors
    - Mitigate latency characteristics variations between drives
Command Duration Limit Descriptors

- A DLD defines 3 duration limits (timeouts) and a policy for each limit
  - A limit policy defines how a command should be handled if the limit is exceeded during the command processing

- Defined limits
  - **Command duration guideline**: Maximum command execution time target
    - The target command maximum latency
  - **Maximum inactive time**: Maximum command queuing time
    - Limits the time a command waits for execution
  - **Maximum active time**: Maximum media access time
    - Limits media access retries to bad sectors
  - At least one limit must be non-zero for the DLD to be valid
Command Duration Limit Descriptors

- **Defined limit policies**
  - **Best-effort**: the device tries to complete the command at the earliest possible time consistent with the limit value
    - No timeout errors
  - **Continue-limited**: if the limit is exceeded, continue execution of the command using the next valid descriptor
  - **Continue-no-limit**: if the limit is exceeded, continue execution of the command without any limit
  - **Complete**: if the limit is exceeded, complete the command with GOOD STATUS/ DATA CURRENTLY UNAVAILABLE
    - Fast fail the command while avoiding queue aborts with ATA NCQ
  - **Abort**: If the limit is exceeded, abort the command with ABORTED COMMAND/COMMAND TIMEOUT DURING PROCESSING or COMMAND TIMEOUT DURING PROCESSING DUE TO ERROR RECOVERY
    - Fast fail the command
Linux Integration

- Reuse Linux I/O priority API
  - Same per-context and per asynchronous I/O controls
- Introduce the new IOPRIO_CLASS_DL
  - The priority level value directly indicates the descriptor to apply to commands
    - Read and write commands DLD bits
- Modify the SCSI disk driver (sd) to set DLD bits based on the I/O request priority
  - Libata also modified to set the DLD bits in FPDMA READ/WRITE commands
- Feature support discovery
- The drive descriptors are advertised to the user through sysfs
  - User applications can automatically choose the best descriptor for an I/O

```c
#define IOPRIO_PRIO_VALUE(class, data) 
   (((class) << IOPRIO_CLASS_SHIFT) | data)

enum {
    IOPRIO_CLASS_NONE,
    IOPRIO_CLASS_RT,
    IOPRIO_CLASS_BE,
    IOPRIO_CLASS_IDLE,
    IOPRIO_CLASS_DL,
};

/*
 * 8 best effort priority levels are supported
 */
#define IOPRIO_BE_NR 8

/*
 * The Duration limits class allows 8 levels: level 0 for "no limit" and levels 1 to 7, each corresponding to a read or write limit descriptor.
 */
#define IOPRIO_DL_NR 8
```

The priority level value directly indicates the descriptor to apply to commands.

```c
#include/linux/ioprio.h
```

# \#define IOPRIO_PRIO\_VALUE\(class, data\) \((\left(\left(class\right) \ll \text{IOPRIO\_CLASS\_SHIFT}\right) | data)\) #include/linux/ioprio.h

...
Prototype Implementation

- Based on kernel 5.14 stable
- Latest fio version modified to allow specifying the new duration limit priority class (IOPRIO_CLASS_DL)
  - Per job priority definition
    - \texttt{--prio\_class=4} options
    - \texttt{--prio=X} specifies that DLD X must be used
  - Per asynchronous I/O priority definition
    - \texttt{libaio} and \texttt{io\_uring} I/O engines
    - \texttt{--cmdprio\_percentage=P}, \texttt{--cmdprio\_class=4} and \texttt{--cmdprio=X} options
Command Duration Limits: NCQ Priority Like Use

- With 20% of commands using a short 30ms duration guideline and the best-effort policy, NCQ priority results can be replicated
  - A low 50ms latency is maintained for all queue depths
  - Better tail latency (99th percentile) for the “no limits” I/Os compared to NCQ priority “low priority I/Os”

128KB Random Read, 20% 30ms limit I/Os

Better tail latency for no-limit commands

Fast execution of 30ms limit commands
Command Duration Limits: I/O latency Fine Control

- Users can combine different limits within the same workload
  - To achieve different service levels on the same device (e.g. 10% of short 100ms limit I/Os and 20% of longer 200ms limit I/Os)
  - Small increase of tail latency for 100ms limit commands at queue depth 32

- Better tail latency for no-limit commands
- 128KB Random Read, 10% 100ms limit I/Os, 20% 200ms limit I/Os
- In-time execution of 200ms limit commands
- Fast execution of 100ms limit commands
Command Duration Limits vs NCQ Priority

- Unlike CDL, fine control over I/O latencies is not possible with NCQ priority
  - The drive trades off performance in order to maintain a very low tail latency for all I/Os
    - This results in a lower maximum IOPS (159 vs 165) and higher tail latencies for low priority I/Os

**Command Duration Limits**

**NCQ Priority**

Higher tail latency for low priority commands

128KB Random Read, 30% high-priority I/Os

Higher latency at high queue depth
Command Duration Limits vs NCQ Priority

- CDL maintains good performance and tail latencies even for complex workloads
  - E.g. 4 service levels: 10% 100ms, 10% 200ms and 20% 300ms and 60% no-limit
  - With 40% of high priority I/Os, NCQ priority overall performance degrades further (158 vs 165)

**Command Duration Limits: maximum IOPS 165**

**NCQ Priority: maximum IOPS 158**

- Higher tail latency for low priority commands
- 128KB Random Read, 40% high-priority I/Os
- Higher latency at high queue depth
Conclusion
Concluding Remarks

- Command duration limits is more flexible than the ATA NCQ Priority feature
  - CDL with short duration limits can efficiently replace ATA NCQ priority
    - Avoid behavior variations between different drive vendors and drive models
    - The user can precisely control command latencies using multiple limit descriptors
- Re-using Linux I/O priority API simplifies application migration from NCQ Priority
  - Same API, only different priority values
- Deeper integration of CDL in Linux can further improve results
  - I/O schedulers and cgroups (latency controller)
- Upstream submission of this work dependent on the completion of the specifications
  - T10 (SPC and SAT) and T13 (ACS) work is on-going
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