SDC
STORAGE DEVELOPER CONFERENCE
SNIA ■ SANTA CLARA, 2015

Storage Solutions for Tomorrow's Physics Projects

THE DATA STORAGE CHALLENGE OF PHYSICS IN THE 21ST CENTURY

U. FUCHS / CERN

CERN / ALICE

WHO WE ARE AND WHAT WE DO

CERN

- CERN is the world's largest particle physics laboratory funded by 21 European member states
- ~2000 staff, ~9000 visiting physicists
- Physics goals are to study elementary particles and fundamental forces
- Particles physics requires:
  - special tools to create new particles: particle accelerators
  - special instruments to study new particles: the experiments: ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb

The ALICE Experiment





ALICE Physics

- The Readout Challenge
  - 40 million collisions per second
  - 100 million readout channels
  - Terabytes of data per sec to be read and dealt with



What we see



What we are looking for

A typical data acquisition system, 2018

- 8000 links read by 250 servers
- >2000 port network, ~1 TBps
- 1500 servers for real-time data formatting
- ~100 PB, 10^9 files, ~200 GBps
- Data Management facilities, Tier-0 storage



The ALICE storage system – first test results

PUSHING THE LIMITS

Transient Data Storage, "The Can"



- High-Capacity, High-Throughput file system
  - ~100PB, ~200GBps, 10^9 files
- High number of clients: ~2000
- There are also good news:
  - No mixed access (only read or write)
  - Operations strictly linear
- Few candidates on the market, three retained:
  - Lustre (v2.6.32)
  - GPFS (v4.1.1)
  - CEPH/RADOS (Hammer)

File Systems Caveats

- Lustre
  - Clustered File system: data servers, one meta-data server
  - Beware of MDS bottlenecks, whole meta data should fit in memory to avoid disk i/o
- GPFS
  - All i/o striped over all servers/LUNs
  - Distributed meta data or separate MDS server possible
- RADOS
  - Object storage, “get”-”put”-”list” interface
  - Underlying storage pools made for redundancy and zero-data loss
- CEPH
  - POSIX file system interface on top of RADOS data stores

Transient Data Storage, Tests

- Tests are still ongoing
  - Out-of-the-box tests finished
  - Now working with vendors to tune the system
- Test: linear workload (r/w), big files, big block sizes
  - It's all about throughput
  - We're not (yet?) testing iops performance
- Mixed workloads, IOPS
  - Not our primary concern
  - Tests will be done in collaboration with other institutions

Transient Data Storage, Test Setup

- Test environment



- 6 LUNs, 500MBps ea, per storage chassis
  - MD3660 chassis with 30 disks 4TB
- Centos 7
- Infiniband FDR only tested for Lustre

Test Results

- Performance vs (Application) Block Size
  - 1 client on 10GE/IB
  - 1 stream





Test Results

- Performance vs # of streams
  - 1 client on 10GE/IB
  - x streams





Test Results

- Performance vs # of clients
  - x clients on 10GE/IB
  - 1 stream





Round-up

WHERE WE ARE TODAY

Observations

- GPFS, LUSTRE: hitting network limitations
- CEPH, RADOS: made for safety, not speed
  - Future versions will improve performance, so they say.
  - Linear writes cause 30-40% read i/o on disk level (journal)

- Data Integrity
  - Lustre: based on underlying LUNs (e.g. RAID)
  - GPFS: good protection through "declustered RAID"
- Rebuild Times:
  - Lustre: based on underlying LUNs
  - GPFS: Minutes
- Disk types: all-SSDs will change the picture

Conclusions

- There's a big storage challenge ahead of us
- If you want to play the BIG game, there are only few solutions, choose wisely.
- But it is possible .. Already today !

Thank you.