

# Solid State Storage (SSS) Performance Test Specification (PTS) Client

Version 1.1

This document has been released and approved by the SNIA. The SNIA believes that the ideas, methodologies and technologies described in this document accurately represent the SNIA goals and are appropriate for widespread distribution. Suggestion for revision should be directed to http://www.snia.org/feedback/.

# **SNIA** Technical Position

May 18, 2012

Copyright © 2012 SNIA. All rights reserved. All other trademarks or registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

# **Revision History**

Revision	Release Date	Originator	Comments
V0.97	11JAN11	Dave Landsman	<ul> <li>Inserted Client test definitions previously approved by TWG in SSS PTS V0.9 into Enterprise PTS V1.0.</li> <li>Changed appropriate references from Enterprise to Client</li> </ul>
V1.0 rev A	04APR11	Eden Kim	<ul> <li>F2F Group Edits on active range changes and definitions</li> <li>Easen Ho active range changes IOPS, TP, LAT</li> <li>Eden Kim addition of Preamble section 1.1</li> </ul>
V1.0 rev B	11APR11	Eden Kim	<ul> <li>TWG Group Edits – agreement on changes</li> <li>Chuck Paridon – ActiveRange definition</li> </ul>
V1.1 rev a	21NOV11	Eden Kim	<ul> <li>Integration of SEP11 F2F Comments &amp; Changes</li> <li>General update to PTS v 1.1</li> <li>Addition of Descriptive Notes for each Test</li> </ul>
V 1.1 rev b2	28DEC11	Eden Kim	<ul> <li>Updated Normative Annex A PTS Sample Report Format</li> <li>Updated reference to KiB Base 2</li> <li>Test Example Plots replaced with Annex Normative plots</li> <li>Added Section 10: WSAT Optional Test</li> <li>Harmonized PTS-C 1.1 with PTS-E 1.1</li> </ul>
V 1.1rev c	25JAN12	Eden Kim TWG F2F	<ul> <li>Line edit by TWG during Jan F2F</li> <li>Update pseudo code</li> <li>Update language</li> <li>Update Charts</li> <li>Update Annex A Sample Report Headers</li> <li>Update List of Plots</li> <li>Update List of Figures</li> </ul>
V 1.1 rev d	06FEB12	Eden Kim	TWG Concall line edit review

## Contributors

The SNIA SSS Technical Work Group, which developed and reviewed this standard, would like to recognize the contributions made by the following members:

Company	Contributor
AmerNet, Inc.	Khaled Amer
Calypso	Eden Kim
Calypso	Easen Ho
Calypso	Mike Peeler
Coughlin Assoc	Tom Coughlin
Dell	Gary Kotzur
EMC	Don Deel
Fusion-IO	Jonathon Thatcher
Hitachi Data Systems	Mel Boksenbaum
Hitachi Global Storage Technologies	Dan Colegrove
Hewlett-Packard	Chuck Paridon
hyperl/O	Tom West
IBM	Phil Mills
Intel	Brady Foster
Intel	Terry Yoshii
Intel	Harry Pon
LSI	Harry Mason
Marvell Semiconductor	Paul Wassenberg
Micron Technology	Doug Rollins
Objective Analysis	Jim Handy
Pliant	Mike Chenery
PMC-Sierra	Martin Harris
Samsung	Steven Peng
SandForce	Jeremy Werner
SanDisk	Dave Landsman
SanDisk	Spencer Ng
Seagate	Marty Czekalski
Seagate	Alvin Cox
Smart Modular	Esther Spanjer
SNIA	Arnold Jones
Toshiba TAIS	Sumit Puri
Western Digital	Gary Drossel

## Usage

The SNIA hereby grants permission for individuals to use this document for personal use only, and for corporations and other business entities to use this document for internal use only (including internal copying, distribution, and display) provided that:

- 1. Any text, diagram, chart, table or definition reproduced shall be reproduced in its entirety with no alteration.
- 2. Any document, printed or electronic, in which material from this document (or any portion hereof) is reproduced shall acknowledge the SNIA copyright on that material, and shall credit the SNIA for granting permission for its reuse.

Other than as explicitly provided above, you may not make any commercial use of this document, sell any or this entire document, or distribute this document to third parties. All rights not explicitly granted are expressly reserved to SNIA.

Permission to use this document for purposes other than those enumerated above may be requested by e-mailing tcmd@snia.org. Please include the identity of the requesting individual and/or company and a brief description of the purpose, nature, and scope of the requested use.

### Disclaimer

The information contained in this publication is subject to change without notice. The SNIA makes no warranty of any kind with regard to this specification, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The SNIA shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this specification.

### **Contacting SNIA**

### SNIA Web Site

Current SNIA practice is to make updates and other information available through their web site at http://www.snia.org

#### **SNIA Address**

Requests for interpretation, suggestions for improvement and addenda, or defect reports are welcome. They should be sent via the SNIA Feedback Portal at http://www.snia.org/feedback/ or by mail to the Storage Networking Industry Association, 425 Market Street, Suite 1020, San Francisco, CA 94105, U.S.A.

Suggestions for revisions should be directed to http://www.snia.org/feedback/.

## Intended Audience

This document is intended for use by individuals and companies engaged in the development of this Specification and in validating the tests and procedures incorporated herein. After approvals and release to the public, this Specification is intended for use by individuals and companies engaged in the design, development, qualification, manufacture, test, acceptance and failure analysis of SSS devices and systems and sub systems incorporating SSS devices.

## Changes to the Specification

Each publication of this Specification is uniquely identified by a two-level identifier, comprised of a version number and a release number. Future publications of this specification are subject to specific constraints on the scope of change that is permissible from one publication to the next and the degree of interoperability and backward compatibility that should be assumed between products designed to different publications of this standard. The SNIA has defined three levels of change to a specification:

• Major Revision: A major revision of the specification represents a substantial change to the underlying scope or architecture of the specification. A major revision results in an increase in the version number of the version identifier (e.g., from version 1.x to version

2.x). There is no assurance of interoperability or backward compatibility between releases with different version numbers.

• Minor Revision: A minor revision of the specification represents a technical change to existing content or an adjustment to the scope of the specification. A minor revision results in an increase in the release number of the specification's identifier (e.g., from x.1 to x.2). Minor revisions with the same version number preserve interoperability and backward compatibility.

# **Table of Contents**

Re	vision	History	. 2
Co	ntribu	itors	. 3
Us	<b>age</b> Discl Cont	aimer acting SNIA	.4 4 4 4
	Char	ges to the Specification	4
Та	ble of	Contents	. 6
Lis	t of Fi	gures	. 8
Lis	t of P	ots	. 8
	Intro	duction	0
I	Intro	auction	. 7
	1.1		7
	1.2	Packground	7
	1.5		
	1.4	Scope	
	1.5	Not In Scope	
	1.6	Disclaimer	
	1./	Normative References	
	1./.1	Approved references	
	1./.2	References under development	
	1./.3	Other references	11
2	Defin	itions, symbols, abbreviations, and conventions	12
	2.1	Definitions	12
	2.2	Acronyms and Abbreviations	13
	2.3	Keywords	13
	2.4	Conventions	14
	2.4.1	Number Conventions	14
	2.4.2	Pseudo Code Conventions	14
2	Kay 7	Fast Brassas Concents	12
3		est Process Concepts	10
	3.1	Steady State	10
	3.Z	Purge	16
	3.3	Preconditioning	16
	3. <del>4</del>	ActiveRange	17
	3.5	ActiveRange Segmentation	17
	3.6	Data Patterns	18
	3.7	Multiple Thread Guideline	18
_	3.8		18
4	Over	view of Common Test Flow	19
5	Com	mon Reporting Requirements	20
	5.I	Test System Hardware	20
	5.2	Test System Software	20
	5.3	Device Under Test	20
6	Test	Tool Guidelines	21
7		Test	77
1	7	ICPS Descriptive Note	<u>י</u> בב בב
	7.1	IOPS Proudo Codo	<u>∠∠</u> วว
	7.2 72	Test Specific Reporting for IOPC Test	-∠ γ⊿
	1.5		∠⊤

	7.3.1 Purge Report	24
	7.3.2 Preconditioning Report	24
	7.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report	25
	7.3.4 Steady State Verification	25
	7.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window	26
	7.3.6 Measurement Window Report	27
	7.3.6.1 IOPS Measurement Window Tabular Data	27
	7.3.6.2 IOPS Measurement Plot – 2D	28
	7.3.6.3 IOPS Measurement Plot – 3D	29
8	Throughput Test	. 30
	8.1 Throughput Descriptive Note	30
	8.2 Throughput Pseudo Code	30
	8.3 Test Specific Reporting for Throughput Test	31
	8.3.1 Purge Report	31
	8.3.2 Preconditioning Report	31
	8.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report Write	32
	8.3.4 Steady State Convergence Report - Read	33
	8.3.5 Steady State Verification Report	33
	8.3.6 Steady State Measurement Window	34
	8.3.7 Measurement Window Report	35
	8.3.7.1 TP Measurement Window Tabular Data	35
	8.3.7.2 IP Measurement Plot – 2D	36
9	Latency Test	. 37
	9.1 Latency Descriptive Note	37
	9.2 Latency Pseudo Code	37
	0.2 Test Seesific Descuting fam Latenau Test	20
	9.3 Test specific Reporting for Latency Test	
	9.3.1 Purge	39 39
	9.3.1 Purge 9.3.2 Preconditioning Report	39 39 39
	9.3       Test specific Reporting for Latency Test         9.3.1       Purge         9.3.2       Preconditioning Report         9.3.3       Steady State Convergence Report	39 39 39 39
	<ul> <li>9.3 Test specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> </ul>	39 39 39 39 39 40
	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> </ul>	39 39 39 39 40 41
	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> </ul>	39 39 39 39 40 41 41 42
	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42
	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43
	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43
	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 43
10	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>Write Saturation Test - Optional</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 43 44
10	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>10.1 WSAT Descriptive Note</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 45
10	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>Write Saturation Test - Optional</li> <li>10.1 WSAT Descriptive Note</li> <li>10.2 WSAT Pseudo Code</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 45 45 45
10	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>Write Saturation Test - Optional</li> <li>10.1 WSAT Descriptive Note</li> <li>10.2 WSAT Pseudo Code</li> <li>10.3 Test Specific Reporting for Write Saturation Test</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 45 45 45 46
10	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>Write Saturation Test - Optional</li> <li>10.1 WSAT Descriptive Note</li> <li>10.2 WSAT Pseudo Code</li> <li>10.3 Test Specific Reporting for Write Saturation Test</li> <li>10.3.1 Purge Report</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 43 43 43 45 45 45 46 46
10	<ul> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li></ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 43 45 45 46 46 46
10	<ul> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li></ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 43 45 45 45 46 46 46 46 46
10	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Write Saturation Test</li> <li>10.1 WSAT Descriptive Note</li> <li>10.2 WSAT Pseudo Code</li> <li>10.3 Test Specific Reporting for Write Saturation Test</li> <li>10.3.1 Purge Report</li> <li>10.3.2.1 WSAT IOPS v Time</li> <li>10.3.2.2 WSAT IOPS v TGBW</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 45 45 45 46 46 46 46 48
10	<ul> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li></ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 43 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 48
10 11	<ul> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li></ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 43 43 45 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 48 46 46 48 48
10 11 12	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li> <li>9.3.2 Preconditioning Report</li> <li>9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report</li> <li>9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report</li> <li>9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window</li> <li>9.3.6 Measurement Window Report</li> <li>9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data</li> <li>9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots</li> <li>9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot</li> <li>9.3.6.7 Descriptive Note</li> <li>10.1 WSAT Descriptive Note</li> <li>10.2 WSAT Pseudo Code</li> <li>10.3 Test Specific Reporting for Write Saturation Test</li> <li>10.3.2.1 WSAT IOPS v Time</li> <li>10.3.2.2 WSAT IOPS v TGBW</li> <li>Normative Annex A – Sample Test Report</li> <li>12.1 RTP Configurations</li> </ul>	39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 42 43 43 45 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 48 48 49 76
10 11 12	<ul> <li>9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test</li> <li>9.3.1 Purge</li></ul>	39 39 39 39 40 41 42 42 42 43 42 43 43 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 47 48 46 47 48 46 47 48 47 48 47 48 46 47 48 47 48 47 47 47 47 47 45 46 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47

# List of Figures

Figure 1-1 – NAND-based SSS Performance States (RND 4KiB Writes)	. 10
Figure 3-1 – ActiveRange Diagram	. 17
Figure 3-2 – ActiveRange Segmentation Diagram	. 18
Figure 4-1 – Basic Test Flow	. 19

## **List of Plots**

Plot 7-1: IOPS SS Convergence Report	
Plot 7-2: IOPS SS Measurement Window	
Plot 7-3: IOPS Measurement Window Tabular Data	
Plot 7-4: IOPS Measurement Plot – 2D	
Plot 7-5: IOPS Measurement Plot – 3D	
Plot 8-1: TP SS Convergence Report WRITE	
Plot 8-2: TP SS Convergence Report READ	33
Plot 8-3: TP SS Measurement Window	
Plot 8-4: TP Measurement Window Tabular Data	35
Plot 8-5: TP Measurement Plot – 2D	
Plot 9-1: LAT SS Convergence Report	
Plot 9-2: LAT SS Measurement Window	41
Plot 9-3: LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data	
Plot 9-4: AVE LAT – 3D Plot	
Plot 9-5: MAX LAT – 3D Plot	44
Plot 10-1: WSAT IOPS v Time	
Plot 10-2: WSAT IOPS v TGBW	

## 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Preamble

This Client Performance Test Specification (PTS-C) 1.1 is a companion specification to the SNIA Solid State Storage Enterprise Performance Test Specification (PTS-E) 1.1. Both Performance Test Specifications (PTS) are intended to be used to obtain reliable and comparative measurement of NAND Flash based solid state storage devices. In both PTS in their present form, the tests and methodologies are designed to use a synthetic, or known and repeatable, test stimulus applied to a solid state storage product at the device level. In these PTS, "device level" refers to measurement of block IO at the physical device level as opposed to file system IO in the host Operating System.

Both of these PTS are based on test and preparation methodologies developed by the SNIA SSS TWG for performance test of NAND based solid state storage. NAND Flash based solid state storage (SSS) performance tends to be highly dependent on the write history of the SSS device, the type of stimulus applied to the SSS device, as well as the test environment (both hardware and software) in which the test stimulus is applied and measurements taken. Much of the preconditioning, test condition set up and parameters take these SSS behaviors into consideration.

These PTS do not require the use a specific test environment, but test tool requirements, capabilities and examples are set forth in the specifications. Care should be taken by the test operator to ensure that the test hardware does not bottleneck the SSS device performance, that the OS or test software tool has minimal contribution to test measurements, and that the same hardware and software test combination is used when comparing performance results of different SSS devices.

This Client PTS-C 1.1 differs from its companion Enterprise PTS-E 1.1 in the preparation of the Device Under Test (DUT) for steady state performance measurement and in the amount and type of test stimulus applied to the DUT. For example, preconditioning LBA ranges may be limited in the Client PTS-C to less than 100% of the available LBAs while the test stimulus Active Range may be limited to a reduced number of uniquely touched LBAs (see Client PTS-C Specification Section 2 Definitions). The use of limited preconditioning and test active ranges are meant to provide a test stimulus that share more characteristics with a typical Client user workload.

Readers and industry members are encouraged to participate in the further SNIA SSS TWG works and can contact the TWG at its website portal at http://www.snia.org/feedback/.

## 1.2 Purpose

Manufacturers need to set, and customers need to compare, the performance of Solid State Storage (SSS) devices. This Specification defines a set of device level tests and methodologies intended to enable comparative testing of SSS devices in Client (see 2.1.6) systems.

Note: While the tests defined in this specification could be applied to SSS devices based on any technology (RAM, NAND, etc.), the emphasis in this specification, in particular regarding Preconditioning and Steady State, is oriented towards NAND.

## 1.3 Background

A successful device level performance test isolates the device being tested from the underlying test platform (HW, OS, Tools, Applications) so the only limiting variable in the test environment is the device being tested. To achieve this goal with NAND-based SSS devices, in addition to typical system/device isolation issues, the test, and test methodologies, must address attributes unique to NAND-based flash media.

NAND-based SSS device controllers map Logical Block Addresses (LBA) to Physical Block Addresses (PBA) on the NAND media in order to achieve the best NAND performance and endurance. The SSS device manages this LBA-to-PBA mapping with internal processes that operate independently of the host. The sum of this activity is referred to as "flash management".

The performance of the flash management during a test, and hence the overall performance of the SSS device during the test, depends critically on:

- 1) Write History and Preconditioning: The state of the device prior to the test
- 2) Workload Pattern: Pattern of the I/O (r/w mix, block size, etc.) written to device during test
- 3) Data Pattern: The actual bits in the data payload written to the device

The methodologies defined in the SSS Performance Test Specification (SSS PTS) attempt to create consistent conditions for items 1-3 so that the only variable is the device under test.

Note: Descriptive notes precede each test section to explain the test rationale and examples of possible interpretations of test results. These notes are **informative only** and are set forth as "Descriptive Note" for the reader's convenience.

The importance of the SSS PTS methodologies on SSS performance measurement is shown in Figure 1-1. A typical SSS device, taken Fresh Out of the Box (FOB), and exposed to a workload, experiences a brief period of elevated performance, followed by a transition to Steady State performance. The SSS PTS ensures that performance measurements are taken in the Steady State region, representing the device's performance during its normal working life.



Figure 1-1 – NAND-based SSS Performance States (RND 4KiB Writes)

### 1.4 Scope

- 1) Preconditioning methods
- 2) Performance tests
- 3) Test reporting requirements

## 1.5 Not in Scope

- 1) Application Workload Tests
- 2) Test Platform (HW/OS/Tools)
- 3) Certification/Validation procedures for this specification
- 4) Device reliability, availability, or data integrity

## 1.6 Disclaimer

Use or recommended use of any public domain, third party or proprietary software does not imply nor infer SNIA or SSS TWG endorsement of the same. Reference to any such test or measurement software, stimulus tools, or software programs is strictly limited to the specific use and purpose as set forth in this Specification and does not imply any further endorsement or verification on the part of SNIA or the SSS TWG.

### **1.7 Normative References**

### **1.7.1** Approved references

These are the standards, specifications and other documents that have been finalized and are referenced in this specification.

- IDEMA Document LBA1-02 -- LBA Count for IDE Hard Disk Drives Standard
- JEDEC JESD218 Solid-State Drive (SSD) Requirements and Endurance Test Method
- JEDEC JESD219 Solid-State Drive (SSD) Endurance Workloads

### **1.7.2** References under development

• ATA/ATAPI Command Set - 2 (ACS-2) – INCITS/T13 2015-D

#### **1.7.3 Other references**

• TBD

## 2 Definitions, symbols, abbreviations, and conventions

## 2.1 Definitions

- 2.1.1 **ActiveRange:** The range of LBA's that can be used for a given Test Code or Preconditioning Code (expressed as a percent of the total addressable LBAs as set forth in section 3.4)
- 2.1.2 **ActiveRange Amount:** The sum of the capacity referenced by the LBA's that are accessed for a given Test or Preconditioning Code equal to, or less than the capacity referenced by the ActiveRange LBAs (such as 8GiB or 16GiB ActiveRange Amount set forth in Section 3.5).
- **2.1.3** ActiveRange Segments: A collection of contiguous and equal sized LBA ranges within the ActiveRange where the Test Code and Preconditioning Codes are allowed to access. The starting LBA of each ActiveRange Segment is randomly distributed across the entire ActiveRange. The set of ActiveRange segments spans the ActiveRange. Note that ActiveRange segments shall not touch to form a single segment.
- 2.1.4 **ActiveRange Segment Size:** The size of a single ActiveRange Segment is determined by taking the ActiveRange Amount and dividing by the number of ActiveRange Segments as prescribed in the Test Code or Preconditioning Code.
- 2.1.5 **Cache:** A volatile or non-volatile data storage area outside the User Capacity that may contain a subset of the data stored within the User Capacity.
- 2.1.6 **Client:** Single user desktop or laptop system used in home or office.
- 2.1.7 **Enterprise:** Servers in data centers, storage arrays, and enterprise wide / multiple user environments that employ direct attached storage, storage attached networks and tiered storage architectures.
- 2.1.8 **Fresh Out of the Box (FOB):** State of SSS prior to being put into service.
- 2.1.9 **IO Demand:** Measured # of OIOs executing in the host.
- 2.1.10 Logical Block Address (LBA): The address of a logical block, i.e., the offset of the block from the beginning of the logical device that contains it.
- 2.1.11 **Latency:** The time between when the workload generator makes an IO request and when it receives notification of the request's completion.
- 2.1.12 **MaxUserLBA:** The maximum LBA # addressable in the User Capacity.
- 2.1.13 **Measurement Window:** The interval, measured in Rounds, during which test data is collected, bounded by the Round in which the device has been observed to have maintained Steady State for the specified number of Rounds (Round x), and five Rounds previous (Round x-4).
- 2.1.14 **Nonvolatile Cache:** A cache that retains data through power cycles.
- 2.1.15 **Outstanding IO (OIO):** The number of IO operations issued by a host, or hosts, awaiting completion.
- 2.1.16 **OIO/Thread:** The number of OIO allowed per Thread (Worker, Process)
- 2.1.17 **Over-Provisioned Capacity:** LBA range provided by the manufacturer for performance and endurance considerations, but not accessible by the host file system, operating system, applications, or user.
- 2.1.18 **Preconditioning:** The process of writing data to the device to prepare it for Steady State measurement consisting of two (2) Preconditioning steps as follows:
  - 18.1. **Workload Independent Preconditioning (WIPC):** The first Preconditioning step comprised of a prescribed workload, unrelated to the test workload, as a means to facilitate convergence to Steady State.
  - 18.2. Workload Dependent Preconditioning (WDPC): The second Preconditioning step comprised of running the test workload itself, after Workload Independent

Preconditioning, as a means to put the device in a Steady State relative to the dependent variable being tested.

- 2.1.19 **Preconditioning Code:** Refers to the Preconditioning steps set forth in this Specification.
- 2.1.20 **Purge:** The process of returning an SSS device to a state in which subsequent writes execute, as closely as possible, as if the device had never been used and does not contain any valid data.
- 2.1.21 **Round**: A complete pass through all the prescribed test points for any given test.
- 2.1.22 **Steady State:** A device is said to be in Steady State when, for the dependent variable (y) being tracked:
  - a) Range(y) is less than 20% of Ave(y): Max(y)-Min(y) within the Measurement Window is no more than 20% of the Ave(y) within the Measurement Window; and
  - b) Slope(y) is less than 10%: Max(y)-Min(y), where Max(y) and Min(y) are the maximum and minimum values on the best linear curve fit of the y-values within the Measurement Window, is within 10% of Ave(y) value within the Measurement Window.
- 2.1.23 **Test Code:** Refers to the measurement steps set forth in the test sections contained in this Specification.
- 2.1.24 **Thread:** Execution context defined by host OS/CPU (also: Process, Worker)
- 2.1.25 Thread Count (TC): Number of Threads (or Workers or Processes) specified by a test.
- 2.1.26 **Transition Zone:** A performance state where the device's performance is changing as it goes from one state to another (such as from FOB to Steady State).
- 2.1.27 **Total OIO:** Total outstanding IO Operations specified by a test = (OIO/Thread) \* (TC)
- 2.1.28 **User Capacity:** LBA range directly accessible by the file system, operating system and applications, not including Over-Provisioned Capacity.
- 2.1.29 **WSAT Steady State:** The state of performance during the WSAT test that follows the Transition Zone when measurements of the dependent variable (RND 4KiB Writes) are relatively time invariant. WSAT Steady State is determined by test operator inspection and is different from the Steady State determination as defined in 2.1.22.
- 2.1.30 coincidence, to the test workload, as a means to facilitate convergence to Steady State.
- 2.1.31 Volatile Cache: A cache that does not retain data through power cycles.

### 2.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations

- 2.2.1 **IOPS:** I/O Operations per Second
- 2.2.2 DUT: Device Under Test
- 2.2.3 FOB: Fresh Out of Box
- 2.2.4 **OIO:** Outstanding IO
- 2.2.5 **R/W:** Read/Write
- 2.2.6 **SSSI:** Solid State Storage Initiative
- 2.2.7 **SSS TWG:** Solid State Storage Technical Working Group
- 2.2.8 **TC:** Thread Count
- 2.2.9 QD: Queue Depth

### 2.3 Keywords

The key words "shall", "required", "shall not", "should", "recommended", "should not", "may", and "optional" in this document are to be interpreted as:

- 2.3.1 **Shall:** This word, or the term "required", means that the definition is an absolute requirement of the specification.
- 2.3.2 **Shall Not:** This phrase means that the definition is an absolute prohibition of the specification.
- 2.3.3 **Should:** This word, or the adjective "recommended", means that there may be valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore a particular item, but the full implications must be understood and weighed before choosing a different course.
- 2.3.4 **Should Not:** This phrase, or the phrase "not recommended", means that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the particular behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behavior described with this label.
- 2.3.5 **May:** This word, or term "optional", indicates flexibility, with no implied preference.

## 2.4 Conventions

### 2.4.1 Number Conventions

Numbers that are not immediately followed by lower-case b or h are decimal values.

Numbers immediately followed by lower-case b (xxb) are binary values.

Numbers immediately followed by lower-case h (xxh) are hexadecimal values.

Hexadecimal digits that are alphabetic characters are upper case (i.e., ABCDEF, not abcdef).

Hexadecimal numbers may be separated into groups of four digits by spaces. If the number is not a multiple of four digits, the first group may have fewer than four digits (e.g., AB CDEF 1234 5678h).

Storage capacities and data transfer rates and amounts shall be reported in Base-10. IO transfer sizes and offsets shall be reported in Base-2. The associated units and abbreviations used in this specification are:

- A kilobyte (KB) is equal to 1,000 (10<sup>3</sup>) bytes.
- A megabyte (MB) is equal to 1,000,000 (10<sup>6</sup>) bytes.
- A gigabyte (GB) is equal to  $1,000,000,000 (10^9)$  bytes.
- A terabyte (TB) is equal to 1,000,000,000 (10<sup>12</sup>) bytes.
- A petabyte (PB) is equal to 1,000,000,000,000 (10<sup>15</sup>) bytes
- A kibibyte (KiB) is equal to 2<sup>10</sup> bytes.
- A mebibyte (MiB) is equal to 2<sup>20</sup> bytes.
- A gibibyte (GiB) is equal to 2<sup>30</sup> bytes.
- A tebibyte (TiB) is equal to 2<sup>40</sup> bytes.
- A pebibyte (PiB) is equal to 2<sup>50</sup> bytes

### 2.4.2 Pseudo Code Conventions

The specification uses an informal pseudo code to express the test loops. It is important to follow the precedence and ordering information implied by the syntax. In addition to nesting/indentation, the main syntactic construct used is the "For" statement.

A "For" statement typically uses the syntax: For (variable = x, y, z). The interpretation of this construct is that the Test Operator sets the variable to x, then performs all actions specified in the indented section under the "For" statement, then sets the variable to y, and again performs the actions specified, and so on. Sometimes a "For" statement will have an explicit "End For" clause, but not always; in these cases, the end of the For statement's scope is contextual.

Take the following loop as an example:

For (R/W Mix % = 100/0, 95/5, 65/35, 50/50, 35/65, 5/95, 0/100) For (Block Size = 1024KiB, 128KiB, 64KiB, 32KiB, 16KiB, 8KiB, 4KiB, 0.5KiB) - Execute **random IO**, per (R/W Mix %, Block Size), for 1 minute - Record Ave IOPS(R/W Mix%, Block Size) This loop is executed as follows:

- 0/0 >>>> Beginning of Loop 1
- Set R/W Mix% to 100/0
  Set Block Size to 1024KiB
- Execute random IO...
- ➢ Record Ave IOPS...
- Set Block Size to 128KiB
- ➢ Execute…
- ➢ Record…
- ≻ ...
- Set Block Size to 0.5KiB
- ➢ Execute…
- ➢ Record…

- >>>> End of Loop 1
- Set R/W Mix% to 95/5 > Set Plack Size to 1024 KiP
- Set Block Size to 1024 KiB
- Execute...
- ➢ Record…
- ≻ ...

>>>>> Beginning of Round Loop 2

## 3 Key Test Process Concepts

The performance of an SSS device is highly dependent on its prior usage, the pre-test state of the device and test parameters. This section describes key SSS test methodology concepts.

## 3.1 Steady State

SSS devices that are Fresh Out of the Box (FOB), or in an equivalent state, typically exhibit a transient period of elevated performance, which evolves to a stable performance state relative to the workload being applied. This state is referred to as a Steady State (Definition 2.1.22).

It is important that the test data be gathered during a time window when the device is in Steady State, for two primary reasons:

- 1) To ensure that a device's initial performance (FOB or Purged) will not be reported as "typical", since this is transient behavior and not a meaningful indicator of the drive's performance during the bulk of its operating life.
- 2) To enable Test Operators and reviewers to observe and understand trends. For example, oscillations around an average are "steady" in a sense, but might be a cause for concern.

Steady State may be verified:

- by inspection, after running a number of Rounds and examining the data;
- programmatically, during execution; or
- by any other method, as long as the attainment of Steady State, per Definition 2.1.22 is demonstrated and documented.

Steady State as defined in Section 2.1.19 shall meet the Steady State Verification criteria as set forth in each test section except for the Optional Write Saturation (WSAT) test – see Definition 2.1.29). For WSAT, the test sponsor shall determine WSAT Steady State by inspection and shall be defined as the area following the Transition Zone (2.1.26) wherein the IOPS measurements remain relatively time invariant.

## 3.2 Purge

The purpose of the Purge process (Definition 2.1.20) is to put the device in a consistent state prior to preconditioning and testing, and to facilitate a clear demonstration of Steady State convergence behavior.

Purge shall be run prior to each preconditioning and testing cycle. If the device under test does not support any kind of Purge method, and the Test Operator chooses to run the PTS, the fact that Purge was not supported/run must be documented in the test report.

The Test Operator may select any valid method of implementing the Purge process, including, but not limited to, the following:

- a) ATA: SECURITY ERASE, SANITIZE DEVICE (BLOCK ERASE EXT)
- b) SCSI: FORMAT UNIT
- c) Vendor specific methods

The Test Operator shall report what method of Purge was used.

## 3.3 **Preconditioning**

The goal of preconditioning is to facilitate convergence to Steady State during the test itself.

The SSS PTS defines two (2) Preconditioning steps:

Workload Independent Preconditioning (WIPC Definition 2.1.18.1); and

## 3.4 ActiveRange

It is desirable to be able to test the performance characteristics of workloads which issue IO across a wide range of the LBA space vs. those which issue IO across only a narrow range. To enable this, the SSS Performance Specification defines ActiveRange. (Definition 2.1.1)

The test scripts define required and optional settings for ActiveRange.

The figures below show two examples of ActiveRange.



Figure 3-1 – ActiveRange Diagram

## 3.5 ActiveRange Segmentation

The Limited ActiveRange as set forth in this specification shall be segmented into segments as defined and prescribed. The following illustration shows the alignment of Random Contiguous Segments.



Figure 3-2 – ActiveRange Segmentation Diagram

## 3.6 Data Patterns

All tests shall be run with a random data pattern. The Test Operator may execute additional runs with non-random data patterns. If non-random data patterns are used, the Test Operator must report the data pattern.

Note: Some SSS devices look for and optimize certain data patterns in the data written to the device. It is not feasible to test for all possible kinds of optimizations, which are vendor specific and often market segment specific. The SSS TWG is still trying to characterize "how random is random enough" with respect to data patterns.

## 3.7 Multiple Thread Guideline

If the Test Operator wishes to run a test using multiple Threads, it is recommended that OIO/Thread for all Threads be equal, so Total OIO is equal to (OIO/Thread) \* (Thread Count). This will enable more direct comparisons.

While the Test Operator may select a given OIO for a test, the Test Operator shall use the same Thread Count and OIO/Thread for all steps of a given test.

## 3.8 Caching

All tests shall be run with all volatile write caches disabled. The cache state shall be reported for drives that cannot have write cache disabled. The test operator may optionally run tests with Write Caches enabled provided that the Cache Flush command is also enabled and that the method and frequency by which the flush buffer commands are issued are disclosed.

#### **Overview of Common Test Flow** 4

The Steady State tests in the SSS PTS (IOPS, Throughput and Latency) use the same general steps and flow, described below. Test-specific parameter settings, reports, and other requirements are documented in the test sections themselves.

### Basic Test Flow:

For (ActiveRange = the specified values)

### 1) Purge the device

Note: Test Operator may use any values for ActiveRange and Test Parameters for this step; no parameter reporting is required.

### 2) Run Workload Independent Preconditioning

Note: Test Operator shall use specified ActiveRange ("For ActiveRange ="), but may choose other Test Parameter values to optimize this step, and shall report them.

#### 3) Run Test (includes Workload Dependent Preconditioning):

- a) Set Test Parameters (OIO/Thread, Thread Count, Data Pattern, etc.) as specified in the test script.
- b) Run test loop until Steady State reached, or a maximum of 25 Rounds. Accumulate/Record intermediate data, as specified in test, for each Round.

### 4) Post process & plot the Rounds data:

- a) If Steady State is reached by Round x=25, where the Measurement Window is Round (x-4):x, the Test Operator shall:
  - i) Plot Rounds 1:x per "Steady State Convergence Plot";
  - ii) Plot Rounds (x-4):x per "Steady State Verification Plot"; andiii) Plot Rounds (x-4):x per "Measurement Plot".
- b) If Steady State is not reached by Round x=25, the Test Operator shall either:
  - i) Continue at 3b until Steady State reached (x>25), and then report per 4a(i-iii); of
  - ii) Stop at Round x and report per 4a(i-iii).

#### End "For ActiveRange"

The Test Operator may re-run the entire "For ActiveRange" loop with alternate test parameters, which may be optional or required, depending on the test.

End "Basic Test Flow"

#### Figure 4-1 – Basic Test Flow

Note: Steps (2) and (3) must each be run with no interruptions, and there must be no delay between Step (2) and Step (3), to maintain consistent test conditions for all devices.

Note: With respect to the reports in Step (4):

- The Steady State Convergence Plot shows general visual convergence to Steady State by plotting the dependent variable (IOPS, Throughput, etc.) for each Round.
- The Steady State Verification Plot shows, via either graph or table, that the device has reached Steady State per definition 2.1.22, by examining dependent variable behavior within the Measurement Window.
- ٠ The **Measurement Plot** is not one, but a set of, plots/reports, which summarize the test data in the Measurement Window, for the metric being measured.
- The content of these plots, and other test-specific reporting, is specified in each test.
- Examples of these plots are set forth in Normative Annex A: Sample Test Reports.

## 5 Common Reporting Requirements

The following items, common to all tests, shall be included in the final test report. These items only need to be reported once in the test report. Test-specific report items are defined in the relevant test sections themselves. A sample test report can be found in Normative Annex A.

## 5.0 General

- 1) Test Date
- 2) Report Date
- 3) Test Operator name
- 4) Auditor name, if applicable
- 5) Test Specification Version

## 5.1 Test System Hardware

- 1) Manufacturer/Model #
- 2) Mother Board/Model #
- 3) CPU
- 4) DRAM
- 5) Host Bus Adapter
- 6) Primary Storage
- 7) Peripherals

## 5.2 Test System Software

- 1) Operating System Version
- 2) File System and Version
- 3) Test Software

## 5.3 Device Under Test

- 1) Manufacturer
- 2) Model Number
- 3) Serial Number
- 4) Firmware Revision
- 5) User Capacity
- 6) Interface/Speed
- 7) Form Factor (e.g. 2.5")
- 8) Media Type (e.g. MLC NAND Flash)
- 9) Optional: Other major relevant features (e.g. NCQ, Hot plug, Sanitize support, etc.)

## 6 Test Tool Guidelines

The SSS PTS is platform (HW/OS/Tool) agnostic. A sample platform is outlined in Informative Annex B: Reference Test Platform Example. SW tools used to test SSS devices pursuant to this PTS-C shall have the ability to:

- 1) Act as workload stimulus generator as well as data recorder
- 2) Issue Random and Sequential block level I/O
- 3) Ability to access contiguous LBA ranges sequentially across test steps
- 4) Restrict LBA accesses to a particular range, or collection of ranges, within the available user LBA space
- 5) Test Active Range shall be able to limit "total unique LBAs used" to a specific value
- 6) Ability to randomly distribute a number of equally sized LBA segments across the test ActiveRange.
- 7) Set R/W percentage mix %
- 8) Set Random/Sequential IO mix %
- 9) Set IO Transfer Size
- 10) Generate and maintain multiple outstanding IO requests. All test sequence steps shall be executed immediately one after the other. This ensures that drives are not given time to recover between processing steps, unless recovery is the explicit goal of the test.
- 11) Provide output, or output that can be used to derive, IOPS, MB/s, maximum latency and average response time (latency if OIO=1) within some measurement period.

The random function for generating random LBA #'s during random IO tests shall be:

- 1) seedable;
- 2) have an output >= 48-bit; and
- 3) deliver a uniform random distribution independent of capacity.

## 7 IOPS Test

### 7.1 IOPS Descriptive Note

### General Purpose:

Client IOPS test is intended to measure the test SSD IOPS at a range of Random Block Sizes and Read/Write mixes using a limited preconditioning and limited Test ActiveRange. This Client IOPS test is intended to emulate characteristics of Client workloads that are limited in the ways in which the LBAs are accessed compared to Enterprise workloads. PTS-E Enterprise workload tests use 100% LBAs and have no restrictions on Test ActiveRange (see conditions set forth in the PTS-E specification).

### Test Flow:

The Client IOPS test makes use of:

- 1) a limited preconditioning LBA range (section 2.1);
- 2) a two step Workload Independent PC (section 2.1.18.1) consisting of
  - a) 128KiB SEQ W for 2X (twice) the user capacity; and
  - b) application of the IOPS loop over the PC LBA range (100% or 75%) until steady state, as defined, is achieved;
- 3) a one step Workload Dependent PC (WDPC) (section 2.1.18.2) consisting of the IOPS loop using the ActiveRange Amount (8GiB or 16GiB) within the ActiveRange until Steady State, as defined, is achieved.

#### **Test Results:**

The test results captured during steady state measurement window shall be tabulated and plotted as specified in section 7 and are intended to present the IOPS performance over the 56 element matrix of (7) Read/Write Mixes (aka the "outer loop") and (8) Block Sizes (aka the "inner loop").

#### **Test Interpretation:**

A higher value (more IOPS) is better.

### 7.2 IOPS Pseudo Code

For (ActiveRange=(0:100) and ActiveRange= (0:75)

For (ActiveRange Amount=8GiB and ActiveRange Amount=16GiB)

- 1 Purge the device. (Note: Active Range and other Test Parameters are not applicable to Purge step; any values can be used and none need to be reported.)
- 2 Workload Independent Preconditioning
  - 2.1 Run SEQ Workload Independent Preconditioning
    - 2.1.1 Set and record test conditions:
      - 2.1.1.1 Device volatile write cache = disabled
      - 2.1.1.2 OIO/Thread: Test Operator Choice
      - 2.1.1.3 Thread Count: Test Operator Choice
      - 2.1.1.4 Data Pattern: Required = Random, Optional = Test Operator
      - 2.1.2 Run SEQ Workload Independent Preconditioning Write 2X User Capacity with 128KiB SEQ writes, writing to the entire ActiveRange without LBA restrictions.
  - 2.2 Run RND Workload Independent Preconditioning
    - 2.2.1 Set and record test conditions:
      - 2.2.1.1 Device volatile write cache = disabled
      - 2.2.1.2 OIO/Thread: Same as in step 2.1 above.
      - 2.2.1.3 Thread Count: Same as in step 2.1 above.

- 2.2.1.4 Data Pattern: Required = Random, Optional = Test Operator Choice
- 2.2.2 For (R/W Mix % = 100/0, 95/5, 65/35, 50/50, 35/65, 5/95, 0/100)
  - 2.2.2.1 For (Block Size = 1024KiB, 128KiB, 64KiB, 32KiB, 16KiB, 8KiB, 4KiB, 0.5KiB)
    - 2.2.2.1.1 Execute **random IO**, per (R/W Mix %, Block Size), for 1 minute
    - 2.2.2.1.2 Record Ave IOPS (R/W Mix%, Block Size)
    - 2.2.2.1.3 Use IOPS(R/W Mix% = 0/100, Block Size = 4KiB) to detect Steady State.
    - 2.2.2.1.4 If Steady State is not reached by Round x=25, then the Test Operator shall either continue running the test until Steady State is reached, or may stop the test at Round x. The Measurement Window to determine WIPC termination is defined as Round x-4 to Round x.
  - 2.2.2.2 End (For Block Size) Loop
- 2.2.3 End (For R/W Mix %) Loop
- 3 Run Workload Dependent Preconditioning and Test stimulus. Set test parameters and record for later reporting
  - 3.1 Set and record test conditions:
    - 3.1.1 Device volatile write cache = Disabled
    - 3.1.2 OIO/Thread: Same as in step 2.1 above.
    - 3.1.3 Thread Count: Same as in step 2.1 above.
    - 3.1.4 Data Pattern: Required= Random, Optional = Test Operator Choice.
    - 3.1.5 Active Range Segmentation Configuration:
      - 3.1.5.1 Number of ActiveRange Segments = 2048
      - 3.1.5.2 ActiveRange Segment Size = ActiveRange Amount divided by 2048
      - 3.1.5.3 Distribution of ActiveRange Segments: randomly distributed within the entire ActiveRange. The ActiveRange Segments are not allowed to touch.
  - 3.2 Run the following test loop until Steady State is reached, or maximum of 25 Rounds:
    - 3.2.1 For (R/W Mix % = 100/0, 95/5, 65/35, 50/50, 35/65, 5/95, 0/100)
      - 3.2.1.1 For (Block Size = 1024KiB, 128KiB, 64KiB, 32KiB, 16KiB, 8KiB, 4KiB, 0.5KiB)
        - 3.2.1.1.1 Execute **RND IO**, per (R/W Mix %, Block Size), for 1 minute
        - 3.2.1.1.2 Record Ave IOPS (R/W Mix%, Block Size)
        - 3.2.1.1.3 Use IOPS (R/W Mix% = 0/100, Block Size = 4KiB) to detect Steady State.
        - 3.2.1.1.4 If Steady State is not reached by Round x=25, then the Test Operator may either continue running the test until Steady State is reached, or may stop the test at Round x. The Measurement Window is defined as Round x-4 to Round x.
      - 3.2.1.2 End "For Block Size" Loop
    - 3.2.2 End "For R/W Mix%" Loop
- 4 Process and plot the accumulated Rounds data, per report guidelines in next section.

End (For ActiveRange Amount) loop

End (For ActiveRange) loop

Note: It is important to adhere to the nesting of the loops as well as the sequence of R/W Mixes and Block Sizes.

## 7.3 Test Specific Reporting for IOPS Test

The following sub-sections list the reporting requirements specific to the IOPS test. Reporting requirements common to all tests are documented in Section 5

If Steady State was reached at Round x, the Test Operator shall:

- Plot Rounds 1:x per "IOPS Steady State Convergence Plot";
- Plot Rounds (x-4):x per "IOPS Steady State Verification Plot"; and
- Plot Rounds (x-4):x per "IOPS Measurement Plot."

If Steady State was not reached then the Test Operator may report results per above, picking the last Round run as Round x. In the case where Steady State was not reached, the Test Operator must state this fact in the final report.

### 7.3.1 Purge Report

The Test Operator shall report the method used to run the Purge operation.

### 7.3.2 Preconditioning Report

The Test Operator shall report both Workload Independent and Workload Dependent preconditioning information as shown in the Report Headers that follow.

## 7.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report

The Test Operator shall generate a Steady State Convergence plot as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):





### 7.3.4 Steady State Verification

The Test Operator shall document the following for Steady State Verification, using <u>Ave 4KiB</u> <u>Random Write IOPS</u> as the dependent variable:

#### IOPS Test - Steady State Verification Dependent Variable = Ave 4KiB Random Write IOPS ActiveRange = (x,y); OIO/Thread = x; Thread Count = x; Data Pattern = x

- Measurement Window: Start \_\_; End \_\_
- Ave. value in Measurement Window:
- Calculated allowed range in Measurement Window (+-10% of Ave.): Max\_; Min \_\_\_
- Measured range in Measurement Window: Max \_\_; Min \_\_ (pass/fail)
- Slope of best linear fit in Measurement Window (must be <= 10%): \_\_% (pass/fail)
- Correlation coefficient for best linear fit: \_\_\_\_\_

### 7.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing the Steady State Measurement Window. as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):



Plot 7-2: IOPS SS Measurement Window

### 7.3.6 Measurement Window Report

The results for the test shall be reported in the following series of tables and graphs which record and report the data from the Steady State Measurement Window.

### 7.3.6.1 IOPS Measurement Window Tabular Data

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing an IOPS Tabular Data as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A).

Tes	st Ru	n Date:		11/14/	201	1 12:39 AM	Report R	un Date		11/21/2011	L 04:	12 PM
				Client	: 10	PS (REQUI	RED) - Rep	ort Pag	je			
SN		SS TWG:	Solid	d State St	orag	e Performance	e Test Specifica	ation (PT	5)	Rev.	PT	S-C 1.0
								· · · ·	- ,	Page		4 of 6
Device (	Unde DUT)	er Test )	VE	NDOR: BC CO.		SSD MO MLC-A	DEL NO: 256 GB	SP	TEST ONSOR		YP Sys	SO tems
Serial No.	0000	)-0000-FFFF		DUT P	repa	ration	Test Loo	p Parame	ters	Stea	dy S	tate
Firmware Rev		BF01	Purg	je	Se	ecurity Erase	REQUIRED:			Converge	ence	YES
Capacity	2	56 GB		Pre-Co	ndit	ioning	Data	a Pattern	RND	Round	s	1-5
NAND Type		MLC	w	orkload	2X	SEQ/128KiB	Outstanding I	0		PC AR	2	100%
Device I/F	6 G	b/s SATA	Inde	ependent	RN	D IOPS Loop	OIO/Thre	ead (QD)	8	AR AMOL	JNT	16 GiB
Test Platform	RTP 2	.0 CTS 6.5	Work	load Dep.	Fu	III IOPS Loop	Thread Co	unt (TC)	1	AR Segme	ents	2048
			CI	ient IO	PS -	ALL RW M	<mark>ix &amp; BS – T</mark>	abular	Data			
Block Si	ize					Rea	ad / Write Mix	%				
(KiB)		0/10	0	5/95		35/65	50/50	65/3	5	95/5	1	.00/0
	0.5	1,1	22.3	1,1	62.2	1,654.6	1,965.6	2,7	17.7	11,970.0		29,860.1
	4	3,1	47.0	2,8	96.6	3,044.4	3,454.4	3,7	79.3	13,005.8		29,876.3
	8	1,5	84.9	1,5	89.7	2,055.0	2,238.9	2,8	98.1	11,568.2		21,723.1
	16	7	65.8	7	86.3	1,028.1	1,272.6	1,6	04.9	6,208.3		12,482.5
	32	3	92.7	4	01.0	525.8	652.7	9	63.8	4,129.6		7,011.6
	64	1	96.4	2	05.9	291.3	352.3	5	65.4	2,372.7		3,791.5
	128		92.5		97.1	139.9	185.4	3	77.9	1,410.2		2,015.3
1	.024		16.4		16.5	23.3	27.3		90.8	191.4		266.7

Plot 7-3: IOPS Measurement Window Tabular Data

Each entry in the table is the average of the values in the five Rounds comprising the Steady State Measurement Window, for the selected (R/W Mix%, Block Size) element.

### 7.3.6.2 IOPS Measurement Plot – 2D

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing an IOPS 2D Plot as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):

Tes	Test Run Date:         11/14/2011 12:39 AM         Report Run Date:         11/21/2011 04:12 PM										
		Client	t IOPS (REQUI	RED) - Report	Pag	je					
SN	ITA SSS TWG	Solid State St	orage Performance	a Test Specification	(DT9	5)	Rev.	PTS-C 1.0			
51	11A 555 1 WG.	Solid State St	orage renormance	Page 5 of 6							
Device	Under Test	VENDOR:	SSD MO	DEL NO:		TEST					
(	DUT)	ABC CO.	SC CO. MLC-A 256 GB SPONSOR Systems								
Serial No.	0000-0000-FFFF	DUT P	reparation	Test Loop Par	ame	ters	Steady	State			
Firmware Rev	BF01	Purge	Security Erase	REQUIRED:			Convergen	YES			
Capacity	256 GB	Pre-Co	onditioning	Data Pat	tern	RND	Rounds	1-5			
NAND Type	MLC	Workload	2X SEQ/128KiB	Outstanding IO			PC AR	100%			
Device I/F	6 Gb/s SATA	Independent	RND IOPS Loop	OIO/Thread (	QD)	8	AR AMOUN	<b>T</b> 16 GiB			
Test Platform	RTP 2.0 CTS 6.5	Workload Dep.	Full IOPS Loop	Thread Count	(тс)	1	AR Segmen	<b>ts</b> 2048			
		Client	<b>IOPS - ALL R</b>	N Mix & BS - 2	D PI	ot					





Plot 7-4: IOPS Measurement Plot – 2D

### 7.3.6.3 IOPS Measurement Plot – 3D

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing an IOPS 3D Plot as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):



Plot 7-5: IOPS Measurement Plot – 3D

## 8 Throughput Test

### 8.1 Throughput Descriptive Note

### General Purpose:

Client Throughput test is intended to measure the test SSD Throughput (TP) in large block (1024KiB) Sequential Read/Write (100/0, 0/100) during Steady State. This Client TP test is intended to present the test SSD data transfer rate in MB/s at 100% R and 100% W in 1024KiB blocks. The intent of the TP test is to determine how fast the test SSD can transfer Sequential data without limitation from the IO Bus.

### Test Flow:

The Client TP test makes use of:

1) a limited preconditioning LBA range (section 2.1);

2) a two step Workload Independent PC (section 2.1.18.1) consisting of

a) 128KiB SEQ W for 2X (twice) the user capacity; and

b) application of the TP stimulus (1024KiB) over the PC LBA ActiveRange (100% or 75%) until Steady State, as defined, is achieved;

3) a one step Workload Dependent PC (WDPC) (section 2.1.18.2) consisting of the TP stimulus using the ActiveRange Amount (8GiB or 16GiB) within the ActiveRange until Steady State, as defined, is achieved.

### Test Results:

The test results captured during steady state measurement window shall be tabulated and plotted as specified in section 8.

#### Test Interpretation:

A higher value (greater MB/s) is better.

### 8.2 Throughput Pseudo Code

For (ActiveRange=(0:100) and ActiveRange= (0:75)

For (ActiveRange Amount=8GiB and ActiveRange Amount=16GiB)

- 1. Purge the device. (Note: ActiveRange Amount and other Test Parameters are not applicable to Purge step; any values can be used and none need to be reported.)
- 2. Workload Independent Preconditioning
  - 2.1. Set and record parameters for later reporting.
    - 2.1.1. Volatile Write cache: disabled
    - 2.1.2. Thread Count: Test Operator Choice
    - 2.1.3. OIO/Thread: Test Operator Choice
    - 2.1.4. Data Pattern: Required = Random, Optional = Test Operator Choice
  - 2.2. Run SEQ Workload Independent Preconditioning Write 2X User Capacity w/ 1024KiB SEQ writes to the entire ActiveRange without any LBA restrictions
- 3. Run Workload Dependent Preconditioning and Test Stimulus
  - 3.1. Set parameters and record for later reporting
    - 3.1.1. Volatile Write cache: disabled
    - 3.1.2. Thread Count: Same as in step 2.1 above.
    - 3.1.3. OIO/Thread: Same as in step 2.1 above.
    - 3.1.4. Data Pattern: Required = Random, Optional = Test Operator Choice
    - 3.1.5. ActiveRange Segmentation Configuration:
      - 3.1.5.1. Number of ActiveRange Segments = 2048
      - 3.1.5.2. ActiveRange Segment Size = ActiveRange Amount divided by 2048

- 3.1.5.3. Distribution of ActiveRange Segments: randomly distributed within the entire ActiveRange. The ActiveRange Segments are not allowed to touch.
- 3.2. Run the following until Steady State is reached, or maximum of 25 Rounds
  - 3.2.1. For (R/W Mix % = 100/0, 0/100)
    - 3.2.1.1. Execute **SEQ IO**, per (R/W Mix%) at 1024KiB Block Size, for 1 minute
    - 3.2.1.2. Record Ave MB/s (R/W Mix%)
    - 3.2.1.3. Use Ave MB/s(RW Mix%) to detect Steady State.
    - 3.2.1.4. If Steady State is not reached by Round x=25, then the Test Operator may either continue running the test until Steady State is reached, or may stop the test at Round x. The Measurement Window is defined as Round x-4 to Round x.
    - 3.2.1.5. Note that the sequential accesses shall be continuous and use the entire ActiveRange between test steps
  - 3.2.2. End (For R/W Mix%) Loop
- 4. Process and plot the accumulated Rounds data, per report guidelines in next section, based on current values of ActiveRange etc.

End (For ActiveRange Amount) loop

End (For ActiveRange) loop

### 8.3 Test Specific Reporting for Throughput Test

The following sub-sections list the reporting requirements specific to the Throughput test. Reporting requirements common to all tests are documented in Section 5, Common Reporting Requirements. See also Normative Annex A – Sample Test Report.

If Steady State was reached at Round x, the Test Operator shall:

- Plot Rounds 1:x per "Throughput Steady State Convergence Plot";
- Plot Rounds (x-4):x per "Throughput Steady State Verification Plot"; and
- Plot Rounds (x-4):x per "Throughput Measurement Plot."

If Steady State was not reached then the Test Operator may report results per above, picking the last Round run as Round x. In the case where Steady State was not reached, the Test Operator must state this fact in the final report.

### 8.3.1 Purge Report

The Test Operator shall report the method used to run the Purge operation.

### 8.3.2 Preconditioning Report

The Test Operator shall report both Workload Independent and Workload Dependent preconditioning information as shown in the Report Headers that follow.

## 8.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report Write

The Test Operator shall generate a Steady State Convergence Report WRITE as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):



Plot 8-1: TP SS Convergence Report WRITE

## 8.3.4 Steady State Convergence Report - Read

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing the Steady State Convergence Report Read as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):





### 8.3.5 Steady State Verification Report

The Test Operator shall document the following for Steady State Verification, using *Ave 1024KiB Sequential Write MB/s* as the dependent variable:

- Measurement Window: Start \_\_; End \_\_\_
- Ave. value in Measurement Window: \_\_\_\_\_
- Calculated allowed range in Measurement Window (+-10% of Ave.): Max\_; Min\_\_\_\_
- Measured range in Measurement Window: Max \_\_; Min \_\_ (pass/fail)
- Slope of best linear fit in Measurement Window (must be <= 10%): \_\_% (pass/fail)
- Correlation coefficient for best linear fit: \_\_\_\_\_

### 8.3.6 Steady State Measurement Window

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing the Steady State Measurement Window. as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):





### 8.3.7 Measurement Window Report

The results for the test must be reported in the following series of tables and graphs which record and report the data from the Steady State Measurement Window.

### 8.3.7.1 TP Measurement Window Tabular Data

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing a Throughput Tabular Data as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A).

Tes	st Run Date:	1	1/21/2011 04	:03 PM					
		Client Thro	ughput Test	(F	REQUIRED) - R	еро	rt Page		
5	TA SSS TWG	Solid State St	orage Performa	nce	Test Specification	(DT)	3)	Rev. P	TS-C 1.0
51	14 555 1110.	Sond State St	orage renorma	nee	e rest speemeation	(115	,	Page	4 of 5
Device (	Under Test (DUT)	VENDOR: ABC CO.	SSD I MLC	мо -А	DEL NO: 256 GB	SP	TEST ONSOR	CALY	PSO stems
Serial No.	0000-0000-FFFF	DUT P	reparation	Test Loop Par	ters	Steady Steady	State		
Firmware Rev	BF01	Purge	Purge Security Erase REQUIRED:					Convergence	YES
Capacity	256 GB	Pre-Co	onditioning	nditioning Data Pattern RND				Rounds	1-5
NAND Type	MLC	Workload	2X SEQ/128KiE	3	Outstanding IO			PC AR	100%
Device I/F	6 Gb/s SATA	Independent	SEQ 1024KiB		OIO/Thread (	QD)	32	AR AMOUNT	16 GiB
Test Platform	RTP 2.0 CTS 6.5	Workload Dep.	SEQ 1024KiB		Thread Count (	(тс)	32	AR Segments	2048
	C	lient Throu	ghput - ALL	RV	N Mix & BS – T	abu	lar Dat	a	
			Block Size	F	Read / Write Mix %	,			
			(KiB)	0	0/100 100/0	)			
					267.2 4	16.0			

Plot 8-4: TP Measurement Window Tabular Data

Each entry in the table is the average of the values in the five Rounds comprising the Steady State Measurement Window, for the selected (R/W Mix%, Block Size) element.

### 8.3.7.2 TP Measurement Plot – 2D

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing a Throughput 2D Plot as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):



Plot 8-5: TP Measurement Plot – 2D
# 9 Latency Test

## 9.1 Latency Descriptive Note

#### General Purpose:

Client Latency test is intended to measure the test SSD response time at 3 Block Sizes (8KiB, 4KiB and 0.5KiB) and 3 Read/Write mixes (100/0, 65/35, 0/100) using a limited preconditioning and limited Test ActiveRange Amount. This Latency test is intended to observe how well the test SSD handles a single OIO without the benefit of queueing.

This Client Latency test is intended to present Average and Maximum Response Times (Latency) at a total outstanding IO of 1 (one Thread at a single OIO/Thread (Queue Depth)). The Latency measurement is inherently dependent on the treatment of the outstanding IOs by the host hardware and software test platform. An OIO of 1 is set to normalize the impact of the test system (as OIO >1 may be treated differently by different test hardware).

#### **Test Flow:**

The Client Latency test makes use of:

- 1) a limited preconditioning LBA range (section 2.1);
- 2) a two step Workload Independent PC (section 2.1.18.1) consisting of
  - a) 128KiB SEQ W for 2X (twice) user capacity; and

b) application of the Latency loop over ActiveRange (100% or 75%) until steady state, as defined, is achieved;

3) a one step Workload Dependent PC (WDPC) (section 2.1.18.2) consisting of the Latency loop using the ActiveRange Amount (8GiB or 16GiB) within the ActiveRange until Steady State, as defined, is achieved.

### **Test Results:**

The test results captured during steady state measurement window shall be tabulated and plotted as specified in section 9.1.

#### Test Interpretation:

A lower value (lower msec) is better.

# 9.2 Latency Pseudo Code

For (ActiveRange=100% and ActiveRange= 75%)

For (ActiveRange Amount=8GiB and ActiveRange Amount=16GiB)

- 1. Purge the device. (Note: ActiveRange and other Test Parameters are not applicable to Purge step; any values can be used and none need to be reported.)
- 2. Workload Independent Preconditioning
  - 2.1. Run SEQ Workload Independent Preconditioning
    - 2.1.1. Set and record test conditions:
      - 2.1.1.1. Device volatile write cache = disabled
      - 2.1.1.2. OIO/Thread: 1
      - 2.1.1.3. Thread Count: 1
      - 2.1.1.4. Data Pattern: Required = Random, Optional = Test Operator Choice
    - 2.1.2. Run SEQ Workload Independent Preconditioning Write 2X User Capacity w/ 128KiB sequential writes, writing to the entire ActiveRange without other LBA restrictions.
  - 2.2. Run RND WIPC -
    - 2.2.1. Set and record test parameters:
      - 2.2.1.1. Device volatile write cache = disabled
      - 2.2.1.2. Thread Count: 1
      - 2.2.1.3. OIO/Thread: 1

- 2.2.1.4. Data Pattern: Required = Random, Optional = Test Operator Choice
- 2.2.2. For (R/W% = 100/0, 65/35, 0/100)
  - 2.2.2.1. For (Block Size = 8KiB, 4KiB, 0.5KiB)
    - 2.2.2.1.1. Execute random IO per (R/W%, Block Size), for 1 minute
    - 2.2.2.1.2. Record Max and Ave Latency (R/W%, Block Size)
    - 2.2.2.1.3. Use Ave Latency (R/W Mix%=0/100, Block Size=4KiB) to detect Steady State.
    - 2.2.2.1.4. If Steady State is not reached by Round x=25, then the Test Operator may either continue running the test until Steady State is reached, or may stop the test at Round x. The Measurement Window to determine WIPC termination is defined as Round x-4
      - to Round x
  - 2.2.2.2. End (For Block Size) Loop
- 2.2.3. End (For R/Ŵ%) Loop
- 3. Run Workload Dependent Preconditioning and Test stimulus
  - 3.1. Set test parameters and record for later reporting.
    - 3.1.1. Device volatile write cache = disabled
      - 3.1.2. OIO/Thread: 1
      - 3.1.3. Thread Count: 1.
      - 3.1.4. Data Pattern: Required = Random, Optional = Test Operator Choice
      - 3.1.5. Active Range Segmentation Configuration:
        - 3.1.5.1. Number of ActiveRange Segments = 2048
        - 3.1.5.2. ActiveRange Segment Size = ActiveRange Amount divided by 2048
        - 3.1.5.3. Distribution of ActiveRange Segments: randomly distributed within the entire ActiveRange. The ActiveRange Segments are not allowed to touch.
  - 3.2. Run the following test loop until Steady State is reached, or maximum of 25 Rounds:
    - 3.2.1. For (R/W Mix % = 100/0, 65/35, 0/100)
      - 3.2.1.1. For (Block Size = 0.5 KiB, 4KiB, 8KiB)
        - 3.2.1.1.1. Execute **RND IO**, per (R/W Mix %, Block Size), for 1 minute
        - 3.2.1.1.2. Record Max and Ave Latency (R/W Mix%, Block Size)
        - 3.2.1.1.3. Use AVE Latency(R//W Mix% = 0/100,
          - Block Size = 4KiB) to detect Steady State.
        - 3.2.1.1.4. If Steady State is not reached by Round x=25, then the Test Operator may either continue running the test until Steady State is reached, or may stop the test at Round x. The Measurement Window is defined as Round x-4 to Round x.
      - 3.2.1.2. End (For Block Size) Loop

3.2.2. End (For R/W Mix %) Loop

### End (For ActiveRange Amount) Loop

End (For ActiveRange) Loop

4. Process and plot the accumulated Rounds data, per report guidelines in next section, based on current values of ActiveRange etc.

# 9.3 Test Specific Reporting for Latency Test

The following sub-sections list the reporting requirements specific to the Latency test. Reporting requirements common to all tests are documented in Section 5, General Reporting Requirements.

## 9.3.1 Purge

The Test Operator shall report the method used to run the Purge operation.

## 9.3.2 Preconditioning Report

The Test Operator shall report both Workload Independent and Workload Dependent preconditioning information as shown in the Report Headers that follow.

## 9.3.3 Steady State Convergence Report

The Test Operator shall generate a Steady State Convergence plot as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):





## 9.3.4 Steady State Verification Report

The Test Operator shall document the following for Steady State Verification, using Ave 4KiB Random Write Latency (ms) as the dependent variable:

- Measurement Window: Start \_\_; End \_\_\_

- Measured range in Measurement Window: Max \_\_; Min \_\_ (pass/fail)
  Slope of best linear fit in Measurement Window (must be <= 10%): \_\_% (pass/fail)</li>
- Correlation coefficient for best linear fit: \_\_\_\_\_

## 9.3.5 Steady State Measurement Window

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing the Steady State Measurement Window. as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):



Plot 9-2: LAT SS Measurement Window

## 9.3.6 Measurement Window Report

The results for the test must be reported in the following series of tables and graphs which record and report the data from the Steady State Measurement Window.

### 9.3.6.1 LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing a Latency Tabular Data as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A).



Plot 9-3: LAT Measurement Window Tabular Data

Each entry in the <u>Average</u> table is the average values in the five Rounds comprising the Average Latency Steady State Measurement Window, for the selected (R/W Mix%, Block Size) element.

Each entry in the <u>Maximum</u> table is the maximum value in the five Rounds comprising the Maximum Latency Steady State Measurement Window, for the selected (R/W Mix%, Block Size) element.

## 9.3.6.2 LAT Measurement Window Plots

The Test Operator shall include a plot showing a Latency 3D Plot for Average and Maximum Latency as follows including the test set up and parameters set forth in the Report Header (see Annex A):

#### 9.3.6.3 Average Latency - 3D Plot



Plot 9-4: AVE LAT - 3D Plot



## 9.3.6.4 Maximum Latency - 3D Plot

Plot 9-5: MAX LAT - 3D Plot

# 10 Write Saturation Test - Optional

# **10.1 WSAT Descriptive Note**

#### General Description:

Client WSAT test is intended to measure the test SSD IOPS at a 4KiB Block Size at 100% Write Read/Write mix using a limited preconditioning and limited Test ActiveRange Amount. This Client WSAT test is intended to emulate characteristics of Client workloads that are limited in the ways in which the LBAs are accessed compared to Enterprise workloads.

#### Test Flow:

The Client WSAT test makes use of:

1) a limited preconditioning LBA range (section 2.1);

2) a one step Workload Dependent PC (WDPC) (section 2.1.18.2) consisting of RND 4KiB 100% Writes using the ActiveRange Amount (8GiB or 16GiB) within the ActiveRange until specified termination conditions are met (4X, or four times, the user capacity or 24 hours, whichever occurs first).

#### Test Results:

The test results captured during the WSAT test shall be tabulated and plotted as specified in section 10 and are intended to present the IOPS performance over Time and Total Gigabytes Written.

#### Test Interpretation:

The test operator is encouraged to observe the initial peak IOPS values, the shape and length of the Transition Zones, and the level of IOPS at the "WSAT Steady State." Less drop off from peak FOB IOPS and a more level WSAT Steady State (see definitions) with a smaller amplitude variation is better.

### **10.2** WSAT Pseudo Code

For (ActiveRange(0:75), optional ActiveRange(Test Operator Choice))

For (ActiveRange Amount=8GiB and ActiveRange Amount=16GiB)

- 1 Purge the device. (Note: Active Range and other Test Parameters are not applicable to Purge step; any values can be used and none need to be reported.)
- 2 Run Test stimulus. Set test parameters and record for later reporting
  - 2.1 Set and record test conditions:
    - 2.1.1 Device volatile write cache = Disabled
    - 2.1.2 OIO/Thread: Test Operator Choice.
    - 2.1.3 Thread Count: Test Operator Choice.
    - 2.1.4 Data Pattern: Required= Random, Optional = Test Operator Choice.
    - 2.1.5 Active Range Segmentation Configuration:
      - 2.1.5.1 Number of ActiveRange Segments = 2048
      - 2.1.5.2 ActiveRange Segment Size = ActiveRange Amount divided by 2048
      - 2.1.5.3 Distribution of ActiveRange Segments: randomly distributed within the entire ActiveRange. The ActiveRange Segments are not allowed to touch.
  - 2.2 Run the following test stimulus until 4X User Capacity is written, or 24 hours, whichever occurs first.

2.2.1 Execute **RND IO** (R/W Mix 100% W, Block Size 4KiB), for 1 minute 2.2.2 Record Ave IOPS, Max and Ave Latency

2.3 Process and plot the accumulated Rounds data, per report guidelines in next section.

End "For ActiveRange Amount" loop

End "For ActiveRange" loop

After generating report data for current parameter values, the Test Operator may re-run "For ActiveRange" loop with alternate Test Parameters.

# **10.3 Test Specific Reporting for Write Saturation Test**

The following sub-sections list the reporting requirements specific to the Write Saturation test. Reporting requirements common to all tests are documented in Section 5, Common Reporting Requirements. See also Normative Annex A: Sample Test Report.

### 10.3.1 Purge Report

The Test Operator shall report the method used to run the Purge operation.

#### **10.3.2 Measurement Report**

The Test Operator shall generate Measurement Plots for WSAT plotting IOPS v Time and IOPS v TGBW and should follow the following format:

### 10.3.2.1 WSAT IOPS v Time



Plot 10-1: WSAT IOPS v Time

#### 10.3.2.2 WSAT IOPS v TGBW



Plot 10-2: WSAT IOPS v TGBW

# **11 Normative Annex A – Sample Test Report**

The following is a sample PTS-C SNIA Test Report which includes Informative Summary Pages (Summary Page – All Tests and Summary Page – Individual Report (WSAT, IOPS, Throughput, Latency)) and Normative Individual Reports (Optional WSAT test and Required IOPS, Throughput and Latency test reports).

Informative Summary Pages contain information compiled for the convenience of the test sponsor. Normative Individual Report Pages contain mandatory Report Headers on each page that set forth required reporting information pertinent to the tests presented on the particular page(s).

s	SNIA So	olid Sta	te Sto	rage	Perforn	nance	e Te	st Spe	cificatio	on (PTS)			Rev.	PTS 1.0
Device (I	Under T DUT)	est	MLC-	A	S	NIA	SSS ary I	PTS Report	:	Calyp: Systems	so , Inc.	(	CALY	<b>PSO</b>
		FORMAT	ION		TEST F	ARDW	VARE	PLATFO	RM	TEST SO	FTWAR	I	REPO	RT DATE
SERIA	NO.	0000	-0000-FFFI	-	SYSTE	м	С	alypso RTP	2.0	SYS OS	CENT C	OS 5.6	Report	06DEC
FIRMWA	RE REV.		BFOI		Motherboar	d/cpu	Intel	5520HC / Y	vv5580	SW TOOL	Calyp CTS	oso 6.5	Test Ru	01NO\ 04DEC
USER CA	PACITY	ML	C 256 GB		RAM		12	GB ECC D	DR3	SW Rev	1.19.	10	Test Sponsor	, Calyps
DEVICE IN	TERFACE	6 G	b/s SATAII		Device Inte	rface	LSA 9	9212-e 6Gb	/s HBA	Release	Nov. 2	011	Auditor	N/A
				Te	esting	Su	mm	ary:	Tests	Run				
PTS-C	TEST Pu		Purge	e DP	0	0	W	/IPC	W	/DPC		STEAD	Y STATE	
7.0	WSAT	- OPTIC	DNAL	Securit Frase		то		PC AR	TEST AR	AR AMT	SEGME	NTS	WORKLOA	
				Erase		QD		100%	100%	N/A	N/A	1	RND 4KiB	W 1.9 T
PTS-C		TEST		Purge	e DP	0	10	W	/IPC	W	/DPC		STEAD	Y STATE
				Securit	<b>v</b>	тс	2	PC AR	TEST AR	AR AMT	SEGME	NTS	WORKLOA	D ROUN
8.0	IOPS	- REQUI	RED	Erase	' RND	QD	16	100%	100%	I6 GiB	2048	3	IOPS LOO	P 2 - 6
PTS-C		TEST		Purge	DP	0	0	v		v			STEAF	Y STATE
	тир/		17.			_		PC AR	TEST AR	ARAMT	SEGME	NTS	WORKLOA	D ROUN
9.0	RE		5	Erase	RND	QD	32	100%	100%	I6 GiB	2048	3	SEQ 1024K	iB I - 5
PTS-C		TEST		Purge	e DP	0	10	v	/IPC	v	/DPC		STEAD	Y STATI
10.0	LA	TENCY	-	Securit		тс		PC AR	TEST AR	AR AMT	SEGME	NTS	WORKLOA	D ROUN
	RE	QUIREE	)	Erase		Q	)	100%	100%	I6 GiB	2048	3	LAT LOO	P 4 - 8
				٦	<mark>Fest S</mark> l	pons	or -	- Spe	cial No	otes				
ITEM	NOTAT	ION			COMMEN.	гs								
	1													

			Sum	ML mary	C-A To Repo	est R rt Pa	epor ige -	t WSAT				
S	NIA Solid	State St	orage F	Perform	iance Te	st Spe	cificatio	on (PTS)			Rev.	PTS 1.0
Device (	Under Test	MLC	-A	S	NIA SSS	PTS		Calype	50 Inc	1	CALY	PSO
-)		MATION		TEST H	ABDWARE			Jystems,	ETMAD	I	BEBO	PT DATE
SERIAL	NO.	0000-0000-FE	FF	SYSTEM			2.0	SYS OS		-	Report	
FIRMWA	RE REV.	BFOI	•	lotherboard	i/cpu Intel	5520HC / 1	w5580	SW TOOL	Calyr CTS	oso 6.5	Test Rur	01NOV 04DECI
USER CA	PACITY	MLC 256 GE	3	RAM		GB ECC DDR3 SW Re		SW Rev	1.19.10		Test Sponsor	Calypso
DEVICE IN	TERFACE	6 Gb/s SATA	II - I	Device Inter	face LSA	9212-e 6Gb	/s HBA	Release	Nov. 2	2011	Auditor	N/A
	Purpose		T	o observe	the drive's p	erformanc	e evolutio	n from a PURG	ED state	to that	t of SETTLE	) state
F	Test Outline Preconditionin	ng	Uses im im FOE	total outsta mediate co 3 - No Pre Co	ntinuous RN onditioning - I	tings that D 4KiB (4) PURGE foll	maximizes K-aligned) owed by Te	s RND 4KIB Wr writes for less est (note: tests	er of 4 x may be ru	User C In long	E the drive, Capacity or 2 er for plottin	d Hours g clarity)
					Test S	Set U	p					
PTS-C	TES	т	Purge	DP	010	v	/IPC	w	DPC		STEAD	Y STATE
7.0	WSAT - O	PTIONAL	Security Erase	RND	TC   QD  6	PC AR	TEST AF	AR AMT	SEGME	NTS	WORKLOA	D TIME/G
	I											1.71
			9	Select	t Perfo	rman	ice Da	ata				
	FOB IOPS		Stead	dy State	IOPS		Tir	ne		Та	otal GB W	ritten
	56,896			2,714			20 H	ours			18 TB	
			т	'est Sp	onsor	- Spe	cial N	otes				
ITEM	NOTATION		c	OMMENT	S							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									







Tes	t Run Date:	11/17/	/2011 09:30 AM	Report Run D	Date:	1	11/22/2011 12:44 PM			
		Client	WSAT (OPTIC	NAL) - Report	Pag	je				
SI	SNIA SSS TWG: Solid State Storage Performance Test Specification (PTS)       Rev.       PTS-C 1.0         Page       4 of 4									
Device Under Test (DUT)         VENDOR:         SSD MODEL NO:         TES           ABC CO.         MLC-A 256 GB         SPONS						TEST ONSOR				
Serial No.	0000-0000-FFFF	DUT P	reparation	Test Loop Par	amet	ers	Steady State			
Firmware Rev	BF01	Purge	Security Erase	REQUIRED:			Convergence	N/A		
Capacity	256 GB	Pre-Co	onditioning	Data Pat	tern	RND	Rounds	N/A		
NAND Type	MLC	Workload	NI / A	Outstanding IO			PC AR	100%		
Device I/F	6 Gb/s SATA	Independent	IN/A	OIO/Thread (	QD)	2	AR AMOUNT	100%		
Test Platform	RTP 2.0 CTS 6.5	Workload Dep.	RND 4KiB	Thread Count	(тс)	16	AR Segments	N/A		
	C	lient IOPS	(Log10) vs To	tal Gigabytes V	Vritt	en (Lir	ı)			



Device Under Test (DUT)       MLC-A       SNIA SSS PTS Summary Report       Calypso Systems, Inc.         DEVICE INFORMATION       TEST HARDWARE PLATFORM       TEST SOFTWARE         SERIAL NO.       0000-0000-FFFF       SYSTEM       Calypso RTP 2.0       SYS OS       CENT OS 5.6         FIRMWARE REV.       BFOI       Motherboard/cpu       Intel 5520HC / W5580       SW TOOL       Calypso CTS 6.5       Calypso CTS 6.5       Calypso CTS 6.5       Calypso         USER CAPACITY       MLC 256 GB       RAM       12GB ECC DDR3       SW Rev       1.19.10         DEVICE INTERFACE       6 Gb/s SATAII       Device Interface       LSA 9212-e 6Gb/s HBA       Release       Nov. 2011	Page 7 Support Report Test Run Test Sponsor Auditor	r of 26 PSO stems r DATE 06DEC 01NOV 04DEC Calypso			
Device Under Test (DUT)     MLC-A     SNIA SSS PTS Summary Report     Calypso Systems, Inc.     Calypso Systems, Inc.       DEVICE INFORMATION     TEST HARDWARE PLATFORM     TEST SOFTWARE       SERIAL NO.     0000-0000-FFFF     SYSTEM     Calypso RTP 2.0     SYS OS     CENT OS 5.6       FIRMWARE REV.     BFO1     Motherboard/cpu     Intel S520HC / W5580     SW TOOL     Calypso CTS 6.5     Calypso TS 6.5     Calypso CTS 6.5     Calypso Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo Callpo C	REPOR Report Test Run Test Sponsor Auditor	F DATE 06DEC 01NOV 04DEC Calypso			
DEVICE INFORMATION     TEST HARDWARE PLATFORM     TEST SOFTWARE       SERIAL NO.     0000-0000-FFFF     SYSTEM     Calypso RTP 2.0     SYS OS     CENT OS 5.6       FIRMWARE REV.     BFOI     Motherboard/cpu     Intel 5520HC / W5580     SW TOOL     Calypso CTS 6.5     Calypso CTS 6.5       USER CAPACITY     MLC 256 GB     RAM     12GB ECC DDR3     SW Rev     I.1.9.10     Device Interface       DEVICE INTERFACE     6 Gb/s SATAII     Device Interface     LSA 9212-e Gb/s HBA     Release     Nov. 2011     Nov. 2011	REPOR Report Test Run Test Sponsor Auditor	06DEC 01NOV 04DEC Calyps			
SERIAL NO.     0000-0000-FFF     SYSTEM     Calypso RTP 2.0     SYS OS     CENTIOS 5.6       FIRMWARE REV.     BFO1     Motherboard/cpu     Intel 5520HC / W5580     SW TOOL     Calypso CTS 6.5     CTS 6.5 <td>Report Test Run Test Sponsor Auditor</td> <td>06DEC 01NOV 04DEC Calyps</td>	Report Test Run Test Sponsor Auditor	06DEC 01NOV 04DEC Calyps			
PIRMWARE REV.         DFOI         Proteinerboard/cpu         Intel 332UHC / V0380         SW TOOL         CTS 6.5           USER CAPACITY         MLC 256 GB         RAM         12GB ECC DDR3         SW Rev         1.19.10           DEVICE INTERFACE         6 Gb/s SATAII         Device Interface         LSA 9212-e 6 Gb/s HBA         Release         Nov. 2011           Test Description           To measure RND IOPS matrix using different BS and R/W Mit	Test Sponsor Auditor	04DEC Calyps			
USER CAPACITY         MLC 256 GB         RAM         12GB ECC DDR3         SW Rev         1.19.10           DEVICE INTERFACE         6 Gb/s SATAII         Device Interface         LSA 9212-e 6Gb/s HBA         Release         Nov. 2011	Sponsor Auditor	Calyps			
Device interface         DSA 2/12/2 GGD/S FIDA         Release         NOV. 2011           Test Description         To measure RND IOPS matrix using different BS and R/W Mi	Auditor	D 1 / A			
Test Description           Purpose         To measure RND IOPS matrix using different BS and R/W Mi		N/A			
Purpose         To measure RND IOPS matrix using different BS and R/W Mi					
	ixes				
Test Outline PURGE, then apply preconditioning until Steady State is achieved according	g to the SN	IA PTS			
PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC					
Task Cabilla					
Test Set Op					
PTS-C TEST Purge DP OIO WIPC WDPC	STEADY STATI				
8.0 IOPS - REQUIRED Security RND TC 2 PC AR TEST AR AR AMT SEGMENTS W	VORKLOAD	D ROUNE			
Erase QUIO 100% 100% 16 GiB 2048 In	OPS LOOP	2 - 6			
Select Performance Data					
RND 4KiB W RND 4KiB R RND 8KiB W RI	ND 8KiB	R			
3,147 29,876 1,584	29,876 1,584 21,723				
Test Sponsor – Special Notes					
ITEM NOTATION COMMENTS					







Tes	t Run Dat	:e:	11/14/	201	1 12:39 AM	Report R	un Date:		11/21/2011	04:	12 PM	
			Client	t <b>IO</b>	PS (REQUI	RED) - Rep	ort Pag	je				
SI	ITA SSS TV	G: Soli	d State St	orad	e Performance	Test Specific:	ation (PT	5)	Rev.	РТ	S-C 1.0	
				orug				-)	Page	4	of 6	
Device Under Test (DUT)		t VI	ENDOR: BC CO.		SSD MO MLC-A	DEL NO: TEST 256 GB SPONSOR						
Serial No.	0000-0000-FI	FF	DUT P	repa	ration	Test Loo	p Parame	ters	Stea	dy S	tate	
Firmware Rev	BF01	Pur	ge	S	ecurity Erase	REQUIRED:			Converge	ence	YES	
Capacity	256 GB		Pre-Cor		ioning	Data	a Pattern	RND	Round	s	1-5	
NAND Type	MLC	w	Workload		SEQ/128KiB	Outstanding I	0		PC AR	2	100%	
Device I/F	6 Gb/s SA	A Ind	Independent		ID IOPS Loop	OIO/Thread (Q		8	AR AMOL	JNT	16 GiB	
Test Platform	RTP 2.0 CTS	6.5 Wor	Workload Dep. Full IOPS Loop		Thread Count (TC)		1	AR Segme	ents	2048		
		C	ient IO	PS -	· ALL RW M	ix & BS – T	abular	Data				
Block S	ize				Re	ad / Write Mix	%					
(KiB)	0,	100	5/95		35/65	50/50	65/3	5	95/5	1	00/0	
	0.5	1,122.3	1,1	62.2	1,654.6	1,965.6	2,7	17.7	11,970.0		29,860.1	
	4	3,147.0	2,8	96.6	3,044.4	3,454.4	3,7	79.3	13,005.8		29,876.3	
	8	1,584.9	1,5	89.7	2,055.0	2,238.9	2,8	98.1	11,568.2		21,723.1	
	16	765.8	7	86.3	1,028.1	1,272.6	1,6	04.9	6,208.3		12,482.5	
	32	392.7	4	01.0	525.8	652.7	9	63.8	4,129.6		7,011.6	
	64	196.4	2	05.9	291.3	352.3	5	65.4	2,372.7		3,791.5	
	128	92.5		97.1	139.9	185.4	3	77.9	1,410.2		2,015.3	
1	.024	16.4		16.5	23.3	27.3		90.8	191.4		266.7	





	NIA Solid	l State St	orage Pe	erform	ance Te	st Spec	cification	ı (PTS)		Rev. Page	P1	rs I.0 4 of 26		
Device L (D	Jnder Test UT)	MLC	-A	SI Su	NIA SSS mmary	PTS Report	: \$	Calyps Systems,	so , Inc.	CAL	YP Sys	<b>SO</b> tems		
D	EVICE INFOR	MATION		TEST H	ARDWARE	PLATFOR	RM	TEST SO	FTWARE	RE	PORT	DATE		
SERIAL	NO.	0000-0000-FFI	FF	SYSTEM	C	alypso RTP	2.0	SYS OS	CENT OS 5	i.6 Repo	rt	06DEC		
FIRMWAR	E REV.	BFOI	Ma	otherboard	/ <b>cpu</b> Intel	5520HC / \	₩5580	SW TOOL	Calypso CTS 6.5	Test F	tun	01NOV 04DEC		
USER CAP	ACITY	MLC 256 GE	3	RAM	12	GB ECC D	DR3	SW Rev	1.19.10	Tes Spons	t ior	Calyps		
DEVICE INT	ERFACE	6 Gb/s SATA	De	evice Inter	face LSA	9212-e 6Gb	/s HBA	Release	Nov. 2011	Audit	or	N/A		
				Те	est De	scrip	tion							
	Purpose			т	o measure l	.arge Blo	ck SEQ TP u	using differe	ent BS and F	R/W Mixes				
	Test Outlin	e	PURG	GE, then a	apply preco	ditioning	until Stead	ly State is a	chieved acco	ording to th	e SNI	A PTS		
Р	recondition	ing			PURGE	ollowed I	by SNIA PT	S prescribe	d WIPC & V	VDPC				
					Test S	Set U	p							
							Purge DP OIO WIPC WDPC							
PTS-C	TE	ST	Purge	DP	010	w	/IPC	w	DPC	STE	ADY	STATE		
<b>РТS-С</b> 9.0	TE	ST GHPUT -	Purge Security Erase	<b>DP</b> RND	OIO TC 32 QD 32	PC AR	<b>TEST AR</b>	W AR AMT	DPC SEGMENTS	STEA S WORKL	ADY OAD			
<b>РТЅ-С</b> 9.0	TE THROUG REQU	ST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase	<b>DP</b> RND	OIO TC 32 QD 32	W PC AR 100%	IPC TEST AR	W AR AMT 16 GiB	DPC SEGMENTS 2048	STEA SWORKL SEQ 102	ADY OAD 4KiB	ROUN		
PTS-C 9.0	TE THROUG REQU	ST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase	DP RND	010 TC 32 QD 32 : Perfo	PC AR 100%	TEST AR 100%	W AR AMT 16 GIB ta	DPC SEGMENTS 2048	STEA S WORKL SEQ 102	ADY OAD 4KiB	STATE ROUNI 1 - 5		
PTS-C 9.0	TE THROUG REQU	IST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase		010 TC 32 QD 32 : Perfo	w PC AR 100%	/IPC TEST AR 100%	W AR AMT 16 GIB ta	DPC SEGMENT: 2048	STE/ S WORKL SEQ 102	ADY OAD 4KiB	ROUN		
PTS-C 9.0		ST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase S S S EQ 41	DP RND elect	010 TC 32 QD 32 : Perfo 3 R	W PC AR 100%	/IPC TEST AR 100% ICE Da SEQ 10241 267 Mi	W AR AMT 16 GIB ta ta KIB W B/S	DPC SEGMENTS 2048	STE/	ADY OAD 4KiB	STATE ROUN I - 5		
PTS-C 9.0		IST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase S S S S EQ 41	DP RND elect 1024KiE 7 MiB/3	010 TC 32 QD 32 Perfo 3 R S	PC AR 100%	TEST AR           100%           ICE Da           SEQ 10241           267 Mi	AR AMT 16 GIB ta KIB W B/S	DPC SEGMENT: 2048	STE/	ADY OAD 4KiB	STATE ROUN 1 - 5		
PTS-C 9.0		IST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase S S EQ 41	DP RND elect 1024Kie 7 MiB/3	010 TC 32 QD 32 : Perfo 3 R S	w PC AR 100% rman	VIPC TEST AR 100% ICE Da SEQ 10241 267 Mi cial No	W AR AMT 16 GIB ta ta KIB W B/S	DPC SEGMENT: 2048	STE/	ADY OAD 4KiB	STATE ROUN I - 5		
PTS-C 9.0		ST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase S S EQ 41 T E C C	DP RND eelect 7 MiB/S est Sp MMENT	OIO TC 32 QD 32 : Perfo 3 R S S S S	w PC AR 100% rman	VIPC TEST AR 100% ICE Da SEQ 10241 267 Mi	W AR AMT I6 GIB ta KIB W B/S tes	DPC SEGMENT: 2048	STEA S WORKL SEQ 102	ADY OAD 4KiB	STATE ROUN 1 - 5		
PTS-C 9.0		ST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase S S EQ 41' T E CO	DP RND eelect 7 MiB/3 est Sp	OIO TC 32 QD 32 : Perfo 3 R S S S S S	w PC AR 100% rman	/IPC TEST AR 100% ICE Da SEQ 10241 267 Mi cial No	W AR AMT 16 GIB ta KIB W B/S tes	DPC SEGMENT: 2048	STE/	ADY OAD 4KiB	STATE ROUN I-5		
PTS-C 9.0		ST GHPUT - JIRED	Purge Security Erase S S EQ 41 T e C C	DP RND elect 1024Kie 7 MiB/3 est Sp	OIO TC 32 QD 32 : Perfo 3 R S S S S S	w PC AR 100% rman - Spec	/IPC TEST AR 100% ICE Da SEQ 10241 267 Mi cial No	W AR AMT 16 GIB ta KIB W B/S tes	DPC SEGMENT: 2048	STE/	ADY OAD 4KIB	STATE ROUN I - 5		







rest kun Date: 11/15/2011 10:24 AM Report kun Date: 11/21/2011 04:03 PM												
		<b>Client Thro</b>	ughput Test (I	REQUIRED) - Re	eport Pa	ige						
SI	ITA SSS TWG	Solid State St	orage Performanc	e Test Specification (	(PTS)	Rev. P1	S-C 1.0					
51	Page 4 of 5											
Device (	Under Test DUT)	VENDOR: ABC CO.	SSD MO MLC-A	DEL NO: 256 GB	TEST SPONSO	R	SO					
Serial No.	0000-0000-FFFF	DUT P	reparation	Test Loop Para	meters	Steady S	/ State					
Firmware Rev	BF01	Purge	Security Erase	REQUIRED:		Convergence	YES					
Capacity	256 GB	Pre-Co	onditioning	Data Patte	ern RNI	Rounds	1-5					
NAND Type	MLC	Workload	2X SEQ/128KiB	Outstanding IO		PC AR	100%					
Device I/F	6 Gb/s SATA	Independent	SEQ 1024KiB	OIO/Thread (Q	2 <b>D)</b> 32	AR AMOUNT	16 GiB					
	RTP 2.0 CTS 6.5	Workload Dep.	SEQ 1024KiB	Thread Count (1	TC) 32	AR Segments	2048					
lest Platform												

Block Size	Read / Write Mix %					
(KiB)	0/100	100/0				
1024	267.2	416.9				



NIA Solid State Storage Performance Test Specification (PTS)     Ref. PTS 1.0       Ref. PTS 1.0       Device Under Test (UUT)     MIC-A       SINIA SSS PTS Summary Report     Systems, Inc.       Device Under Test (UUT)     MIC-A       SINIA SSS PTS Summary Report     Calypos Systems, Inc.       DEVICE INFORMATION       TEST HARDWARE PLATFORM     TEST SOFTWARE REPORT DATE REMAXARENC       MIC-A     Signer Calypon Systems, Inc.       DEVICE INFORMATION     TEST HARDWARE PLATFORM       TEST NATION     TEST SOFTWARE REPORT DATE REMAXARENC       Calypo Systems, Inc.       Test Calypo Systems, Inc.       DEVICE INFORMATION       TEST MARDWARE PLATFORM       Test DesCription       Test Outline     PURGE followed by SNIA PTS Prescribed WIPC & WDPC       Test Outline       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS Prescribed WIPC & WDPC       Test Souting       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS Prescribed WIPC & WDPC       Test Souting       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS Prescribed WIPC & WDPC       Select Performance Data	NIA Solid State Storage Performance Test Specification (PTS)     Rev.     PTS 1.0       Device Under Test (DUT)     MIC-A     SINIA SSS PTS Summary Report     Calypso Systems, Inc.     Culypso Systems, Inc.     Culypso Systems, Inc.       Device Under Test (DUT)     MIC-A     SINIA SSS PTS Summary Report     Calypso Systems, Inc.     Culypso Systems, Inc.     Culypso Systems, Inc.       Device InfoRMATION     TEST HARBWARE FLATFORM     TEST SOTWARE     Report DATE       SMIA SSS PTS     Summary Report     Systems, Inc.     Culypso Systems       Device InfoRMATION     TEST HARBWARE FLATFORM     TEST SOTWARE     Report DATE       SMIA SSS PTS     Summary Report     State Stat			Sı	umma	MLC ary Re	-A To eport	est R Page	eport	TENO	CY			
Pice         20 of 26           Device Under Test (DUT)         MLC-A         SNIA SSS PTS Summary Report         Calypso Systems, Inc.         Device Unson Systems, Inc.           Device UnsonArtion         TEST HARDWARE PLATEORN         TEST SOFTWARE TEST MARDWARE PLATEORN         TEST SOFTWARE TEST MARDWARE PLATEORN         TEST SOFTWARE TEST NORTO NO         Report Date (DUT)           Device UnsonArtion         BFO1         Methanesart/optical Methanesart/optical Device International Device International	Page         20 of 26           Device Under Test (UUT)         MLC-A         SNIA SSS PTS Summary Report         Calyps of Systems, Inc.         Page         20 of 26           Device Under Test (UUT)         MLC-A         SNIA SSS PTS Summary Report         Calyps of Systems, Inc.         Calyps of Zo         Sostems         Calyps of Zo	s	NIA Solid	State Sta	orage Pe	erform	ance Te	st Spea	cificatio	n (PTS)		Rev.	PTS 1.0	
Sila Sila SSS PTS Summary Report         Caliypso Systems, in:         Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Systems, in:         Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso Caliypso	Device Under Test (UUT)         MLC-A         SNIA SSS PTS Summary Report         Calipso Systems, Inc.         Calipso Systems, Inc.         Calipso Systems, Inc.           DEVICE INFORMATION         TEST HARDWARE PLATEORN         TEST SOFTWARE         Report DATE           SERIAL NO.         0000-000-FFFF         SW TOL         SW TOL         Chipso SW TOL         Chipso SW TOL         Chipso SW TOL         TEST SOFTWARE         Report OATE           SERIAL NO.         0000-000-FFFF         SW TAW         126 ECC DDR3         SW TOL         Chipso Test         Test OATE           WIRKE CAPACITY         MLC 256 GB         RAM         126 ECC DDR3         SW TAW         Chipso Test         Chipso Test           SERICE INTERFACE         6 Gbi SATAIL         Device Interface         L3 9212-6 Gbb HBA         Release         Nov. 2011         Auditor         NA           SERICE INTERFACE         6 Gbi SATAIL         Device Interface         L3 9212-6 Gbb HBA         Release         Nov. 2011         Auditor         NA           SERICE INTERFACE         6 Gbi SATAIL         Device Interface         L3 9212-6 Gbb HBA         Release         Nov. 2011         Auditor         NA           SERICE INTERFACE         To measure AVE & MAX Response times at selected BS & RW Mixes measured in mSoc         Test Cold Maxes         RA									()		Page	20 of 26	
Device INFORMATION         TEST MARWARE PLATFORM         TEST SOFTWARE         REPORT DATE           SERIAL NO.         000000000000000000000000000000000000	Device INFORMATION         TEST HARDWARE PLATFON         TEST SOFTWARE         REPORT DATE           SERIAL NO.         00000000FFFF         SYSTM         College ATP2 0         SYS 05         College To 25         Report DATE         ONDECI           PRIMWARE REV.         BF01         Honharboard/op intel S320HC / W3580         SW TOOL         College To 55.6         Test Run 0HD0/C           USER CAPACITY         MLC 255 GB         RAH         12GB ECC DD33         SW Nev         1.19.10         Sportsoc         Colypace           DEVICE INTERFACE         6 Gb/t SATAIL         Device Interface         LS 9212-6 Gb/t BAA         Release         Nov. 2011         Auditor         NA           DEVICE INTERFACE         6 Gb/t SATAIL         Device Interface         LS 9212-6 Gb/t BAA         Release         Nov. 2011         Auditor         NA           DEVICE INTERFACE         6 Gb/t SATAIL         Device Interface         LS 9212-6 Gb/t BAA         Release         Nov. 2011         NA           DEVICE INTERFACE         To measure AVE & MAX Response times at selected BS & RW Mixes measured in mSoc         Test Colline         PURCE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC         STEADY STATE           PTS-C         TEST         Purge         DP         OIO         VIPC         WDPC         STEADY STATE <th>Device ( (E</th> <th>Under Test DUT)</th> <th>MLC-</th> <th>A</th> <th>SN Sui</th> <th>IIA SSS nmary</th> <th>PTS Report</th> <th>: !</th> <th>Calyps Systems,</th> <th>so , Inc.</th> <th>CALY</th> <th>ystems</th>	Device ( (E	Under Test DUT)	MLC-	A	SN Sui	IIA SSS nmary	PTS Report	: !	Calyps Systems,	so , Inc.	CALY	ystems	
Series         Outcourtery         Is strem         Catype of the 20 of the	Security       Note Carps of Previous Carps of Carps	D	EVICE INFOR			TEST HA	RDWARE		RM	TEST SO	FTWARE	REPO		
Test         Test         Purge         Device interface         USA CAPACITY         ULC: 56 (CB         RAH         ULD GE ECC DDBS           USER CAPACITY         MLC: 256 (CB         RAH         ULD GE ECC DDBS         SW Rev         11/9.10         Test         Capacity           DEVICE INTERACE         6 (CB/s SATAII         Device Interface         LSA 9212-6 (CB/s HBA         Release         Nov. 2011         Auditor         NA           Test Description           Test Outline         PURGE, then apply preconditioning until Steady State is achieved according to the SNIA PTS           Preconditioning         PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC           Test Durge         PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC           Test TEST         Purge DP         OIO         WIPC & WDPC         STEADY STATE           IOO         WIPC & WDPC         STEADY STATE           IOO         WIPC & WDPC         STEADY STATE           DE OLD         WIPC & WDPC         STEADY STATE           IOO         WIPC & WDPC         STEADY STATE	Internation         Labor         Internation         Link of the second secon	FIRMWA	RF REV.	BEOI	Ma	otherboard/		5520HC / )	V.5580	313 03 SW TOOL	CEINT OS 5.6 Calypso	Test Ru	01NOV	
Orac on Actinit         Proc. 28 GB         NM         TABLE COUND         JPT Not         TAP/TO         Sponsor         Cappa           DEVICE INTERFACE         6 Gb/s SATAII         Device interface         LSA 9212-6 6Gb/s HBA         Release         Nov. 2011         Auditor         NA           To measure AVE & MAX Response times at selected BS & RW Mixes measured in mSec           Purpose         To measure AVE & MAX Response times at selected BS & RW Mixes measured in mSec           Test Outline         PURGE, then apply preconditioning until Steady State is achieved according to the SNIA PTS           Preconditioning         PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC           Test Set Up           Select Performance Data           Interface AVE         RND         YC 1         PC AR         TEST AR APAPT         SECHENTS         WORKLOAD         RODIN           Select Performance Data           Test Sponsor – Special Notes           Test Sponsor – Special Notes           Test Sponsor – Special Notes           Test Notation	Value Carlyset         Proc. 136 des         Aunt         Table Exc. CDUA3         Jit Net         1.13.0         Spensor         Cultype           EVICE INTERFACE         6 Gu/s SATAII         Device Interface         LSA 7212.e 6Gb/s HBA         Release         Nov. 2011         Audicor         NIA           Test Description           Purpose         To measure AVE & MAX Response times at selected BS & RW Mixes measured in mSec           Test Outline         PURGE (blowed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC           PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC           Test Set Up           PTS-C         TEST         Purge         DP         OIO         WIPC         WDPC         STEADY STATE           IO0         WIPC         WDPC         STEADY STATE           10.0         LATENCY-         Security         RND         QD1         PC AR         TEST AR ARAMY         SEGMENTS         WonkLoAD         Robult           Select Performance Data           Test Sponsor – Special Notes           Test Sponsor – Special Notes           Test Sponsor – Special Notes			MIC 354 CP		BAM			TP3	SW/ Boy	CTS 6.5	Test	04DECI	
Test Description         Purpose       To measure AVE & MAX Response times at selected BS & RW Mixes measured in mixe         Test Outline       PURGE, then apply preconditioning until Steady State is achieved according to the SNIA PTS         Preconditioning       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC         Test Set Up         Preconditioning mill Steady State is achieved according to the SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC         Test Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       Purge       DP       OIO       WIPC       WDPC       STEADY STATE         IOO       VIPC TEST AR ARAMT SEGMENTS WORKLOAD ROUNI         IOO       COM         Select Performance Data         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION         COMMENTS         ITEM       NOTATION         ITEM       NOTATION         ITEM       NOTATION         ITEM       NOTATION	Test Description         Purpose       To measure AVE & MAX Response times at selected BS & RVM Mixes measured in mSec         Test Outline       PURGE, then apply preconditioning until Steady State is achieved according to the SNIA PTS         Preconditioning       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC         Test Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       Purge       DP       OIO       WIPC       WDPC       STEADY STATE         10.0       LATENCY- REQUIRED       Security RND       TC 1 QD 1       PC AR       TEST AR ARAMT       SEGMENTS       WORKLOAD       DOUNI         10.0       LATENCY- REQUIRED       Security RND       TC 1 QD 1       PC AR       TEST AR ARAMT       SEGMENTS       WORKLOAD       DOUNI         0.00       Select Performance Data       LAT LOOP       4-a         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS       Idout	DEVICE IN	TERFACE	6 Gb/s SATAI	De	evice Interfa	ace LSA	9212-e 6Gb	/s HBA	Release	Nov. 2011	Sponsor Auditor	N/A	
Test Outline       PURGE, then apply preconditioning until Steady State is achieved according to the SNIA PTS         Preconditioning       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC         Test Set Up         Test Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       Purge       DP       OIO       WIPC       WDPC       STEADY STATI         10.0       LATENCY- REQUIRED       Security Erase       ND       TC 1 QD 1       PC AR       TEST AR       AR AMT       SEGMENTS       WORKLOAD       ROUN         Select Performance Data         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS	Test Outline     PURGE, then apply preconditioning until Steady State is achieved according to the SNIA PTS       Preconditioning     PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC       Test Set Up       PTS-C     TEST     Purge     DP     OIO     WIPC     WDPC     STEADY STATI       10.0     LATENCY- REQUIRED     Security BND     RND     TC 1 QD 1     PC AR     TEST AR     AR AMT     SEGMENTS     WORKLOAD     ROUN       Select Performance Data       Test Sponsor – Special Notes       Test Sponsor – Special Notes       ITEM     NOTATION     COMMENTS		Purpose		То	Te measure	<b>St De</b>	SCript	tion use times at	selected BS	& RW Mixes	measured in	n mSec	
PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC           Test Set Up           Test Set Up           PTS-C         TEST         PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC           Test Set Up           PTS-C         TEST AR ARAMT SEGMENTS WORKLOAD ROUN           OIO         WIPC AW TEST AR ARAMT SEGMENTS WORKLOAD ROUN           Security REQUIRED         Security Brase         PC AR TEST AR ARAMT SEGMENTS WORKLOAD ROUN           Select Performance Data           Test Sponsor – Special Notes           Test Sponsor – Special Notes           ITEM NOTATION         COMMENTS           ITEM NOTATION         COMMENTS	Preconditioning       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC         Test Set Up         TTest Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC         Test Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       PURGE followed by SNIA PTS prescribed WIPC & WDPC         TEST Set Up         PTS-C       TEADY STATE         10.0       LATENCY -       Security       RND       TEST AR       ARAMT SEGMENTS WORKLOAD ROUN         IDENT TEST AR       RND 4KIB R AVE       RND 4KIB R MAX       RND 4KIB W MAX         OLOGO mSec       SILOOD mSec         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM NOTATION       COMMENTS         I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I         ITEM NOTATION       COMMENTS         I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I </td <td></td> <td>Test Outline</td> <td>,</td> <td>PURG</td> <td>GE, then a</td> <td>oply precor</td> <td>nditioning</td> <td>until Stead</td> <td>ly State is a</td> <td>chieved accor</td> <td>ding to the S</td> <td>NIA PTS</td>		Test Outline	,	PURG	GE, then a	oply precor	nditioning	until Stead	ly State is a	chieved accor	ding to the S	NIA PTS	
Test Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       Purge       DP       OIO       WIPC       WOPC       STEADY STATE         10.0       LATENCY- REQUIRED       Security Prese       RND       Tc 1 QD 1       PC AR PC AR       TEST AR ARAMT       SEGMENTS       WORKLOAD       ROUN         Select Performance Data         Select Performance Data         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0	Test Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       Purge       DP       OIO       WIPC       WDPC       STEADY STATE         10.0       LATENCY- REQUIRED       Security Erase       RND       TC 1 QD 1       PC AR       TEST AR       AR AMT       SEGMENTS       WORKLOAD       ROUNI         Select Performance Data         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS	P	Preconditioni	ng			PURGE	followed I	by SNIA PT	S prescribe	d WIPC & WE	PC		
Test Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       Purge       DP       OIO       WIPC       WDPC       STEADY STATE         10.0       LATENCY- REQUIRED       Security Brase       TC1 QD1       PC AR       TEST AR       AR AMT       SEGMENTS       WORKLOAD       ROUN         Security REQUIRED       Security Brase       TC1 QD1       PC AR       TEST AR       AR AMT       SEGMENTS       WORKLOAD       ROUN         SECURE Performance Data         Security O.203 mSec       RND 4KIB W AVE       RND 4KIB R MAX       RND 4KIB W MAX         Security O.203 mSec       I.600 mSec       SI.000 mSec         Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS	Test Set Up         PTS-C       TEST       Purge       DP       OIO       WIPC       WDPC       STEAD STATE         10.0       LATENCY- REQUIRED       Security       RND       TC 1 001       PC AR       TEST AR       AR ANT       SECURENTS       WORKLOAD       ROUN         Select Performance Data         Test Sponsor - Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION         COMMENTS         1       Out       Out       Select													
PTS-C     TEST     Purge     DP     OIO     WIPC     WDPC     WDPC     STEADY STATE       10.0     LATENCY- REQUIRED     Security Brase     RND     TC 1 QD 1     PC AR QD 1     TEST AR 100%     AR AMT     SEGMENTS     WORKLOAD     ROUN       Security Brase     RND     TC 1 QD 1     PC AR QD 1     TEST AR 100%     AR AMT     SEGMENTS     WORKLOAD     ROUN       Select Performance Data	PTS-C     TEST     Purge     DP     OIO     WIPC     WOPC     STEADY STATE       10.0     LATENCY- REQUIRED     Security Erase     ND     TC 1 QD 1     PC AR     TEST AR     AR AMT     SEGMENTS     WORKLOAD     ROUNI       Security REQUIRED     Security Erase     ND     TC 1 QD 1     PC AR     TEST AR     AR AMT     SEGMENTS     WORKLOAD     ROUNI       Select Performance Data						Test S	Set U	p					
IO.0     LATENCY- REQUIRED     Security Erase     RND     TC I QD I     PC AR QD I     TEST AR IONS     AR ANT     SEGMENTS     WORKLOAD ROUN       Select Performance Data         RND 4KiB R AVE     RND 4KiB W AVE     RND 4KiB R MAX     RND 4KiB W MAX       0.203 mSec     0.309 mSec     I.600 mSec     51.000 mSec	IO.0     LATENCY- REQUIRED     Security Ersse     RND     TC 1 QD 1     PC AR QD 1     TEST AR 100%     AR ANT     SECHENTS     WORLDAD     ROUNI       Select Performance Data	PTS-C	TES	т	Purge	DP	010	W	/IPC	w	DPC	STEAD	Y STATE	
Integration     Inte	Internation     Internation     Internation     Internation       Select Performance Data       RND 4KiB R AVE     RND 4KiB W AVE     RND 4KiB R MAX     RND 4KiB W MAX       0.203 mSec     0.309 mSec     I.600 mSec     51.000 mSec	10.0		ICY - IRED	Security Erase	RND	TC I QD I	PC AR	TEST AR	AR AMT	SEGMENTS	WORKLOA	D ROUNI	
Select Performance Data         RND 4KiB R AVE       RND 4KiB W AVE       RND 4KiB R MAX       RND 4KiB W MAX         0.203 mSec       0.309 mSec       1.600 mSec       51.000 mSec         Test Sponsor - Special Notes         ITEM NOTATION       COMMENTS         I       I       I       I         I       I       I       I       I	Select Performance Data         RND 4KIB R AVE       RND 4KIB W AVE       RND 4KIB R MAX       RND 4KIB W MAX         0.203 mSec       0.309 mSec       1.600 mSec       51.000 mSec         Test Sponsor - Special Notes         ITEM NOTATION       COMMENTS         1       1       1       1         1       1       1       1       1							100%	100%	TO GIB	2048	LAT LOOI	4-8	
RND 4KIB R AVE       RND 4KIB W AVE       RND 4KIB R MAX       RND 4KIB W MAX         0.203 mSec       0.309 mSec       1.600 mSec       51.000 mSec	RND 4KiB R AVE       RND 4KiB W AVE       RND 4KiB R MAX       RND 4KiB W MAX         0.203 mSec       0.309 mSec       1.600 mSec       51.000 mSec				S	elect	Perfo	rman	ice Da	ta				
0.203 mSec         0.309 mSec         1.600 mSec         51.000 mSec           Test Sponsor - Special Notes           ITEM NOTATION         COMMENTS           ITEM         NOTATION         Image: Colspan="2">Comments           ITEM         NOTATION         Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Comments	0.203 mSec         0.309 mSec         1.600 mSec         51.000 mSec           Test Sponsor - Special Notes           ITEM         NOTATION         COMMENTS         Image: Colspan="4">COMMENTS           I         Image: Colspan="4">Image: Colspan="4"           Image: Colspan="4">Image: Colspan="4"         Image: Colspan="4"         Ima	RN	D 4KiB R AV	E	RND 4	4KiB W A	VE	F	RND 4KiB	R MAX	R	ND 4KiB W	/ MAX	
Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I	Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I	0	.203 mSec		0.3	09 mSe	5		l.600 n	ıSec		51.000 m	Sec	
Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I <td< th=""><th>Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Test Sponsor – Special Notes         ITEM       NOTATION       COMMENTS         I       I       I         I       I       I         I       I       I													
ITEM NOTATION COMMENTS	ITEM NOTATION COMMENTS COMMENT				Te	est Sp	onsor ·	- Spee	cial No	tes				
		ITEM	NOTATION		co	OMMENTS								






Test Run Date:		11/11/2	2011 09:53 AM	Report Run Date:		11	11/15/2011 03:34 PM	
Client Latency (REQUIRED) - Report Page								
SNIA SSS TWG: Solid State Storage Performance Test Specification (PTS) Rev. PTS-C 1.0								
5	Page 4 of 6							
Device Under Test (DUT)		VENDOR: ABC CO.	SSD MODEL NO: MLC-A 256 GB		TEST SPONSOR			
Serial No.	0000-0000-FFFF	DUT P	reparation Test Loop Par		ramete	ers	Steady State	
Firmware Rev	BF01	Purge	Security Erase	REQUIRED:			Convergence	YES
Capacity	256 GB	Pre-Co	onditioning	Data Pattern RND		RND	Rounds	4-8
NAND Type	MLC	Workload	2X SEQ/128KiB	Outstanding IO			PC AR	100%
Device I/F	6 Gb/s SATA	Independent	RND LAT Loop	OIO/Thread (	QD)	1	AR AMOUNT	16 GiB
Test Platform	RTP 2.0 CTS 6.5	Workload Dep.	Full Latency Loop	Thread Count	(TC)	1	AR Segments	2048
Client - AVE and MAX Response Time - ALL RW Mix & BS – Tabular Data								

Average Response Time (ms)					
	Read / Write Mix %				
Block Size (KiB)	0/100	65/35	100/0		
0.5	0.932	0.449	0.196		
4	0.349	0.332	0.203		
8	0.537	0.432	0.240		

Maximum Response Time (ms)					
	Read / Write Mix %				
Block Size (KiB)	0/100	65/35	100/0		
0.5	52.1	50.2	1.0		
4	51.0	50.0	1.6		
8	52.8	53.3	39.5		





## **12** Informative Annex B – Performance Test Platform Example

This annex describes the hardware/software Reference Test Platform (RTP) that was used by the SSS TWG to do the bulk of the research and validation of the SSS PTS.

The RTP is not required to run the SSS PTS tests; it is an example of a platform that was used to run the PTS.

In addition to the RTP, several other hardware/software platforms and software tools were used in the development and refinement of the PTS, such as IOmeter, Vdbench and several in-house stimulus generators running on several versions of the Windows and Linux O/S.

## **12.1 RTP Configurations**

The RTP was designed to enable the testing of most SSS devices. It can also be extended in order for the testing of higher performance SSS products.

The table below shows the two currently defined configurations of the RTP; the validation of the PTS was performed using the Standard configuration.

Component	Type / Part Number	Standard	Extended Performance
Chassis	Intel SC5650DP or similar	1	1
Motherboard	Intel S5520HC	1	1
Processor	Intel 3.2GHz W5580	1	2
Main Memory	1333MHz DDR3, ECC	12GB	Up to 96GB
HDD	160GB, 7200K RPM	1	1
6Gb/s SAS/SATA HBA	LSI 9212-4i4e	1	1
Operating System	Linux	CentOS 5.6	CentOS 5.6
Test Software	Calypso CTS	CTS v 6.5	CTS v 6.5

## **12.2 RTP Components**

Following is a more detailed description of the components used in the RTP.

The chassis used was an Intel SC5650DP. The chassis has a limited effect on performance, so a similar chassis could be used, but it should have a 600W or larger power supply.

The RTP motherboard was an Intel S5520HC. Again, a similar motherboard could be used, but it is desirable to have two processor sockets to be able to support the Extended Performance configuration.

The processor used in the RTP was an Intel S5520HC. The Standard configuration contained one processor, and the Extended Performance option would contain two processors.

The RTP main memory utilized 1333MHz DDR3 DIMMs with ECC. The Standard configuration included 12GB of RAM, and the Extended Performance configuration would be fully populated with 96GB of RAM. The DIMMs were selected from the following list of Intel approved memory:

http://serverconfigurator.intel.com/configurememory.aspx?id=MTY2NCMxLDI1MTcjMSwyNjE4IzIsMjUzMyMx&ShowNavigation=false

It is advisable to install the memory in multiples of three DIMMs, to optimize performance.

The HDD used in the RTP was 160 GB, 7200K RPM, with a SATA interface. It is not clear what impact the HDD has on performance testing, so the highest performance SATA drive that is widely available was selected.

The RTP used a LSI 9212-4i4e Host Bus Adaptor containing the IT firmware set. Other sufficiently high performance HBAs are available, but were not tested.

The RTP hardware platform had a dedicated power supply for all test DUT bays separate from the main power supply.

RTP utilized CTS test software made by Calypso Systems, Inc. http://www.calypsotesters.com

• CTSv6.5 is the Standard version.

The Calypso software requires the CentOS 5.6 Linux OS.